

EGYPT



مصر

The Permanent Mission of Egypt
to the United Nations
New York

بعثة مصر الدائمة
لدى الأمم المتحدة
نيويورك

Statement

Of

The Arab Republic of Egypt

Before

The Fifty - ninth Session of
The United Nations General Assembly

24 September 2004

Delivered by

H.E. Ahmed Aboul Gheit

Minister for Foreign Affairs

Mr. President,

It is a great pleasure to see you presiding over the General Assembly (GA) in its fifty-ninth session. I am confident that your wealth of experience and the friendly ties your sisterly country enjoys with all countries of the World will be a major asset for the Assembly in the discharge of its tasks. The success of this session will build upon the accomplishments of the fifty-eighth session under the leadership of your predecessor Mr. Julian Hunte, who made an important contribution to the revitalization of the role of the General Assembly in various fields.

I would also like to express our appreciation to the Secretary-General for his tireless efforts to enhance the status and strengthen the role of our organization in the international arena, in particular in the area of the promotion of the activities related to development on the regional and domestic levels.

At the outset of our fifty-ninth session, we must scrutinize the international environment in which it is being held. We have to take a look at the events witnessed by our world to determine their direction, to decide where we stand on them, and to understand their contribution, whether positive or negative, to the achievement of our shared goal: The Promotion of International Peace and Security.

The Current Reality of International Relations:

Indeed, we need to pause to see where this world is heading, is it and are we ascending towards upholding the values of democracy, justice and the rule of law? Or are we descending to tyranny, the law of the jungle and the imposition of the policies of *fait-accomplit*?

Could it be said that what is taking place in the world today emanates from a real belief in the might of right? Or is it, most regrettably, a consolidation of the right of might? The events witnessed by our world today are a cause of grave concern for all those who believe in the principles upon which the international system, under the auspices of the United Nations, was established.

We are witnessing noble values collapse, and principles, that we thought were unassailable, falling apart. National sovereignty and territorial integrity are no longer sufficient to prevent interference in the

internal affairs of states. Indeed, those very internal affairs have become a pretext for those who wished to impose their policies on the others.

The international situation forces a critical question on us, namely what is it that we want from this world? And what we have done to attain it?

We say:

We want a world free from conflicts and wars. *What have we done to establish such world?*

We want an international community that is governed by legality and the rule of law. Are we *seriously upholding the values of legality and law?*

We wish to see all peoples enjoying democracy. *But what about states? Should they not enjoy democracy in the conduct of their foreign relations as well?*

The relations among states are by nature volatile. They are easy to break, but they repairable. It might be difficult at times to repair them. However, the calculations pertaining to the ever-evolving interests make it eventually possible. But the relations among peoples are different. Their wounds get inflamed quickly, and they heal slowly, or perhaps rarely. Therefore, it is incumbent upon us, in our feverish attempt to achieve our own interests, to take special care in order to avoid the flashpoints between peoples and civilizations/cultures because their memories store all their experiences and tribulations. Whatever is stored in the collective memory does not disappear nor fade away with time.

The Lessons of History:

Mr. President,

I come to the General Assembly from a country that grasps fully the meaning of history. Mine is a country that has had the three components of the state, namely the territory, the people and the Government for seven thousand years. The country that witnessed, through the Nile, and on its banks, the march of the world history. It is a country that had experienced and lived through the ascendance, the tyranny, the decline and the fall of empires and powers that ruled the world. They came to our lands as invaders and as they came they went

away leaving behind only what we accepted as enrichment to the fabric of our culture. All the rest of what was left behind were but a line in the sand, and was blown away by the wind of the movement of the ever-dynamic history.

The lessons of history teach us that if a certain people or party finds itself compelled to accept a situation or settlement under certain historical circumstances, it does not mean that such people or party will continue to accept that situation if the circumstances change and the balance of power is altered. The authors of the Versailles accords attempted to convince the world at the beginning of the last century that they laid down the foundation of lasting peace. However, those very accords were the cause for undermining that desired peace.

Why did this happen? In my opinion the collapse of the Versailles regime was the cause of the arrogance of power. Such arrogance eluded some of us to the belief that they were capable of not only writing history but also rewriting it to alter its facts and ignore its lessons. Therefore, we had better seek justice in our policies. If we do not do so out of belief in the inherent value of justice, let it be done on the basis of realizing the benefits of justice in the long term for our children and grandchildren.

The Combat against Terrorism:

Mr. President,

[the relation between lack of justice and what follows "terrorism"]

The events of recent years have proven that no one is immune to the danger of terrorism. The evil hand of terrorism killed and destroyed in all corners of the world under various pretexts and different excuses. Whatever its motives, they are unacceptable. All its arguments are flimsy. The only objective of this criminal conduct, which we condemn in all its forms, is random killing and wanton destruction.

For more than a decade, Egypt has called, and continues to call, for the convening of an international conference, under the auspices of the United Nations, to consider the phenomenon of terrorism and to agree on means to combat it through effective and collective international efforts. Proceeding from our belief in the importance and centrality of the role of the United Nations, [whether through the GA or

the [Security Council], in the coordination of international efforts to combat terrorism, we propose that the GA establish an open-ended working group to [consider proposals] to increase the effectiveness and the efficiency of the UN and its ability to achieve the international goals in the area of combating terrorism. This can be accompanied by the convening of regional preparatory meetings to catalog the regional needs and the areas of cooperation on the international level. This in turn could lead us, ultimately, to the adoption of the draft comprehensive framework convention to combat terrorism in a high-level international summit.

The Socio-economic Context:

Mr. President,

We will not be able to eradicate the threat of terrorism without an equitable and just international economic and social system in which we must take into account the needs of the others as much as we strive to achieve our own interests. The last decade witnessed an increased attention to narrowing the social and economic gaps between states. This was embodied in the series of UN conferences and summits, which sought to achieve such aim. Here, we wish to stress two points that are critical to optimize the benefit of those efforts:

First, The need to take into accounts the cultural diversity of societies and their different backgrounds and social and political circumstances. It is important to stress that failing which the documents and programmes of action adopted at UN-sponsored conferences will remain in the realm of abstract and will not be translated into reality.

Second, there is a need to realize that the capacity of developing countries to implement the agreed programmes of actions will remain limited without an effective and sincere international cooperation that is based on the belief in the unity human destiny.

The eradication of poverty and the achievement of development are a shared responsibility of developed and developing countries alike. It is a responsibility that is based on genuine partnership, international solidarity, the principles of the UN Charter, and the Millennium Declaration. It must be supported by a serious attempt to address the causes of the structural imbalance in the international economic and financial systems, by the alleviation of the debt burden and the increase

of foreign direct investment (FDI) and Official Development Assistance (ODA).

If we are truly serious in our commitment to halve the number of the poor who live on less than a dollar a day by the year 2015, then the obstacles in the way to the access of the developing countries' exports to the world market must be eliminated. Also, we need to promote the acquisition by developing countries, particularly in Africa, which have long been deprived of a fair share of international investments, of direct and indirect international financial flows. There is also an urgent need to arrive at lasting and structural solution to the problem of foreign indebtedness that has drained the developing countries, and has aggravated the socio-economic painful situation in most of Africa.

This leads me to address the 2005 high-level event which will seek to review the implementation of the outcome of the UN summits and conferences. I would like to reiterate that we expect the review process to take careful stock of the goals and objectives of we sought from those conferences, so as to clarify what have been accomplished thus far and what are the obstacles which prevented the realization of them all. We expect that effective steps shall be taken to achieve those goals, particularly the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), and to ensure the implementation of the specific commitments made by states, in the context of the principle of *shared responsibility* among the developed and developing countries.

I am afraid that the data and indications contained in the relevant

The said regions are still far from achieving security and stability. Its problems are still far from a solution. Those problems maybe so inflamed that they get substantial media coverage, but finding a real solution to such problems and addressing the elements that exacerbate them is not yet, in my opinion, decisively on the international agenda.

The Middle East

The Palestinian/Israeli situation:

The main threat to the Middle East, and perhaps adjacent regions as well, flows from the continued acquisition by some of nuclear weapons. While we all agree on the dangers of those weapons, and the need to halt their proliferation, as a step towards their elimination and ridding humanity of the threats they pose, the international community continues to be selective in addressing the question of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMDs). The international community seeks to impose a firm regime to monitor the imports of all the member states of the non-proliferation regime, among them are all the Arab states. The same international community, however, turns a blind eye at the continued stockpiling of nuclear capabilities by one party in the region .

I invite all of us to ask with me, or to answer if we will, if it is acceptable for the dangers of the nuclear proliferation to continue to prevail in the Middle East. I leave it to all of you to decide whether the international approach in addressing this question is fair or is it one of double standards? Whether such an approach is capable of addressing those dangers and threats? Whether we are in urgent need for a radical change to the current approach?

The continued application of double standards will lead not only to the aggravation of the risks of nuclear proliferation and to the weakening of the conviction of the seriousness and centrality of the international regime governing this question, but will also lead to the fueling the tense situation in the Middle East. Therefore, and in order to ease that tension and to avert those dangers, President Mubarak launched in 1990 Egypt's initiative to create a Middle East a zone free from WMDs.

Mr. President,

In the year that elapsed between the opening of the last session and this one, the suffering of the Palestinian people continued. The Palestinian people are subjected to acts of aggression and their legitimate rights continue to be violated. The tragedies visited upon the Palestinian citizens have become a permanent item in newscasts and newspapers headlines. Everyday there is a report of the demolition of homes, the destruction of the infrastructure, targeting unarmed civilians, assassinations, collective punishments and the imposition of curfews and closures. There is no end in sight for these policies. All this suffering was met with an inexplicable international silence, barring some timid expressions of dissatisfaction, or at best discomfort at the events unfolding there. These statements entrench rather than change *the fait — accompli*.

Israel is also continuing to build the separation wall on Palestinian lands. The Wall separates the students from their schools, the workers from their factories, and the farmers from their fields. Had Israel's objective from the construction of that wall been truly ensuring its security, it would have rather built it on its own land. But, having constructed it on the lands of others, it has become clear, beyond a shadow of doubt, that the real aim, most regrettably, is to acquire additional Palestinian lands.

The advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice (ICJ) declared the construction of the Wall illegal. It called for the halting of construction activities and for the removal of the parts already constructed. This advisory opinion was a strong message to the international community in general and to Israel in particular that the flame of justice is alive and well. It may have seemed to flicker but it has not been blown out. The scale of justice still prevails, it might seem to have been upset, but it has not fallen nor has it been tipped.

What our region needs is the construction of bridges that connect, not walls that separate. What our region needs is a path for the hopes of the promising future. What our region needs is serious action and genuine cooperation among all to extricate the peace process from its current impasse and to put it back on track. The way out of this bloodstained dilemma is well known. It was laid down by the International Quartet in the Road Map that enjoys the support of the entire international community. The final destination of that road is also

well known. It was defined by the United States President George Bush in his vision of the establishment of two independent states, Palestine and Israel, which would live side by side in peace and security.

This is the road. These are its landmarks and destination. There is neither time for waiting nor room for foot-dragging. Prompt and effective action is necessary to break the cycle of violence, to restore calm and to resume the process of political negotiations. These negotiations are the only way, and there is no other way, to end the Arab-Israeli conflict.

In this regard, Israel intended withdrawal from the Gaza strip could

Mr. President,

The implementation of the Israeli withdrawal from the Gaza strip in such a discipline manner will allow Egypt to work with the Palestinian side for the rehabilitation and rebuilding of the Palestinian Authority (PA) in all areas, particularly the area of security. Thus, the PA could resume the successful discharge of its responsibilities in the Gaza strip and the West Bank. This will also enable the international community to work with the PA for the rebuilding of the Palestinian economy whose deterioration threatens with grave humanitarian disaster that calls for prompt and decisive action.

On another level, Egypt will seek to help restore calm between the parties. However, this necessitates an assurance of the cessation of the Israeli aggression against the Palestinians. The Road Map, which places equal obligations on the parties, provides for such an assurance.

Iraq:

Mr. President,

Despite all the efforts and appeals for peace, the bloodshed continues unabated in the Middle East.

We had pinned hopes on the transfer of sovereignty [to the Iraqi people] at the end of June last [and the formation of] to an Iraqi government and National Council. It was hoped that those would constitute the first steps for the restoration, by the brotherly Iraqi people, of their full sovereignty on their national soil, and the ending of the foreign presence in that long-suffering country. However, the events of the recent months have shown, and continue to show everyday, that the Iraqi people are far from stability and independence.

We wonder whose interest do the events in Iraq serve? Who is to benefit from the destruction of that country in its age-old civilization? Will the events taking place in Iraq remain confined to Iraq? Or will their flames transcend its borders causing destruction and desolation in the region, like they did in Iraq?

We call upon all parties to respect the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Iraq. The people and the State of Iraq are in dire need of a

breathing space and calm reflection on the best way to bring that country out of the devastating spiral of violence.

We call upon the UN to continue its pivotal role in assisting the people of Iraq in rebuilding their constitutional and legislative institutions as a first step towards the rebuilding of Iraq by the hands of Iraqis.

Sudan:

Mr. President,

As if Iraq, and before it Palestine, were not enough to drain the human and physical resources of the region and to keep it in the talons of tension, the Darfur crisis came to fan the flames not only of the Middle East, but also those of Africa.

Indeed there is an urgent humanitarian problem in Darfur and there is a real humanitarian tragedy that needs an urgent international action to contain it.

But, is it inevitable for that effort to take the shape of interference in the affairs of Sudan and the violation of its sovereignty? Can we not provide humanitarian assistance and relief to the inhabitants of Darfur without encroaching upon Sudan's national sovereignty? Are there enough military forces in the world to be dispatched as invaders to every area that is afflicted by a humanitarian crisis?

The affairs of the Sudan, with its racial composition and religious and cultural heritage, are complex by nature. They are faced by extremely complex and complicated problems. It is our duties to help solve these problems not render them more complex and complicated.

The Sudan has signed with the UN a framework of cooperation, the Joint Communiqué of 4 July 2004, to solve the problem in Darfur and to ensure humanitarian access to the civilians of that region. This augurs well for an early easing of this tragedy. We call upon the international community not to confine itself to criticizing one party or another and not to lay the blame on one set of policies or another. What is more important and useful is serious action for the provision of humanitarian assistance to the needy inhabitants of Darfur. We also need to create the environment necessary for the resumption, and consequently the conclusion, of the peace talks in Naivasha, with a view

to bring an end to the long-standing civil war in Sudan and to usher for a comprehensive peace to, and the preserving of the unity of, the largest country in Africa.

Let us encourage cooperation in the place of confrontation. Let us talk of assistance not sanctions. Let us help Sudan help itself and its people. Let us support the efforts of the African Union (AU) and ensure their success. Let the African Continent establish an important principle that it most sorely needs; namely the development of the regional capacity to deal effectively with crises and disasters, the capacity for the maintenance of regional peace and security.

Peace, Security and Development in Africa

Mr. President,

This brings me to the topic of peacemaking, peacekeeping, and peacebuilding in Africa. Egypt attaches special importance to the provision of the financial and technical support necessary for the building and strengthening of African own capacities in the fields of peacemaking and peacekeeping. The Continent is exerting efforts to develop the mechanisms for the prevention and management of conflicts within the AU. Most notable among these efforts is the

- The achievement of ceasefire,
- Monitoring the observance of ceasefire,
-

In the past, Egypt expressed its conviction that it is eminently qualified to assume permanent responsibilities in the framework of an expanded SC, and on the basis endorsed by the Harare Declaration. We reiterate that the above-mentioned considerations are important assets for Egypt's clear eligibility for and appropriate capacity in discharging the responsibilities of membership in the SC, in accordance with Article 23 of the UN Charter. We believe that such membership can take place on the basis of a system of rotation with our African brothers to fill the additional seats, which is to be allocated to our continent/regional group. It can also correspond to any newly established status in the Council in the framework of the geographical distribution in the UN.

There remains a final and decisive point concerning the effectiveness of the Council. Expansion is not an end in itself. It is legitimate to seek expansion. However, the goal, which we all must strive to achieve, is reforming the methods of work in the Council and liberate it from the domination of the minority that sometimes seeks only to further narrow and selfish interests that do not serve the work of the Council or the lofty ideals behind its establishment. Any proposals for expansion must provide for a cessation of the practices the Council has known in the past 15 years since the collapse of the Berlin Wall.

Thank you, Mr. President.