Case No.:

UNDT/NY/2020/042

Judgment No.: UNDT/2022/001

Date:

5 January 2022

Original:

English

Before:

Judge Joelle Adda

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Registry:

New York

Registrar:

Nerea Suero Fontecha

ARVIZU TREVINO

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT

ON RECEIVABILITY

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Alan Gutman, ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat Clémentine Foizel, ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2020/042

Judgment No.: UNDT/2022/001

Introduction

1. The Applicant, a former Chief Executive Officer of the United Nations Joint

Staff Pension Fund ("UNJSPF"), contests the United Nations Controller's decision of

17 July 2020 "to deny him a compensation claim under Appendix D of the Staff

Regulations and Rules".

2. The Respondent contends that the application is moot, because the relief

sought by the Applicant, namely that the contested decision is rescinded and the case

is remanded to the Advisory Board on Compensation Claims ("ABCC") for

consideration under Appendix D, has already been granted.

3. For the reasons set out below, the Tribunal finds that the application is not

receivable.

Facts

4. On 17 July 2020, the Secretary of ABCC informed the Applicant that the

Controller, at the recommendation of the ABCC, had denied his compensation claim

for alleged work-related illness under Appendix D of the Staff Rules.

5. On 6 August 2020, the Applicant filed a request for management evaluation in

which he challenged the contested decision of 17 July 2020. In this request, the

Applicant sought the Management Evaluation Unit ("MEU") to "instruct ABCC to

reconsider [his] claim and grant [him] compensation" under Appendix D of the Staff

Rules. The Applicant further stated that in case MEU did "not direct the ABCC to

review [his] claim, [he reserved] the right to make claims for compensation for the

reckless and illegal behavior of the ABCC before [the Dispute Tribunal], and the

moral injury [he had] further incurred".

Page 2 of 5

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2020/042

Judgment No.: UNDT/2022/001

10. The Tribunal notes that for an issue to be receivable pursuant to staff rule 11.2(a), the applicant must first have submitted it for management evaluation unless it concerns "a decision taken pursuant to advice obtained from technical bodies, as determined by the Secretary-General" or "a decision taken at Headquarters in New York to impose a disciplinary or non-disciplinary measure pursuant to staff rule 10.2". In line herewith, see also the Appeals Tribunal in, for instance, *Aliko* 2015-UNAT-540 (para. 38), *Gnassou* 2018-UNAT-865 (para. 30) and *Kollie* 2021-UNAT-1138 (para. 75).

- 11. In the present case, in the Applicant's request for management evaluation, he explicitly "reserved" the determination of the issue of non-pecuniary damages related to the process before ABCC to the situation where his claim for compensation under Appendix D of the Staff Rules was *not* remanded to the ABCC. As a matter of fact, the Applicant's Appendix D claim was, however, remanded to the ABCC, and nothing in the case record indicates that the question of non-pecuniary damages was thereafter, as also requested by the Applicant, considered by the MEU.
- 12. Accordingly, as the Applicant specifically excluded the issue of non-pecuniary damages from his request for management evaluation in the given circumstances, this question is not receivable in the present case before the Dispute Tribunal. As no substantive issues are therefore pending before the Tribunal in the present case, the Tribunal cannot to entertain any of the Applicant's other requests and motions, including the sought referral for accountability under art. 10.8 of the Dispute Tribunal.