





19. Mr. Nyasulu does not rebut this appeal, so presumably he has no objection to the compensation being re-calculated in the manner suggested by the Secretary-General. It is therefore surprising that the matter cannot be settled between the parties without having to resort to the appeals process.

20. We make that observation because we have no option but to remand the case. The reason for this is that, in order to rule on the Secretary-

sation in lieu of rescission was not correct. This cannot be done, because the UNDT gave no reasons whatsoever for applying the impugned exchange rate.

23. Judgment No. UNDT/2016/020 is remanded to the UNDT to state the reasons, facts and law on which its method of calculating the compensation in lieu of rescission is based.

7. On 28 February 2017, the Tribunal held a case management discussion. The Tribunal considered that since the Applicant did not contest the Appeal, the parties

c. Pursuant to rule 106.5