
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2015/165

Judgment No.: UNDT/2016/172

Date: 23 September 2016

Original: English

Before: Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena Kwakye-Berko

ODAX

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT

Counsel for the Applicant:
Nicole Washienko, OSLA

Counsel for the Respondent:
Stephen Dietrich, ALS/OHRM
Nicole Wynn, ALS/OHRM

8. Following the Secretary-General's budget proposal to the General Assembly, MONUSCO issued Information Circulars to its entire staff on 6 and 9 March 2015, 14 April 2015, and 20 April 2015, with regard to the proposed budget, the establishment of a Comparative Review Panel (CRP), and the review criteria.

9. Under the proposed new structure for the Mission, which was approved by the General Assembly, the military force in Bukavu was to be reduced by one battalion and Kinshasa would no longer be an operational base. As a result, LA posts in Kinshasa and Bukavu were abolished. This meant that a budgetary reduction of 80 LA posts in the 2015/2016 budget cycle for MONUSCO was done.

10. The Applicant, who was an LA in Bukavu, was affected by the abolition. A memorandum from the MONUSCO Director of Mission Support (DMS) informed him of this development. He was also informed through a memorandum from the Chief Civilian Personnel Officer (CCPO), Ms. Xaba-Motsa.

11. As at 16 June 2015, the Applicant, along with the other LAs at the Mission whose posts were at the time proposed for abolishment sent a letter to the Special Representative of the Secretary-General (SRSG) for MONUSCO contesting the non-renewal of their fixed-term appointments by reason of abolition of post.

12.

themselves does not alter the Organization's obligations under paragraph 3.7 of ST/AI/2013/4.

e. Moreover, the decision to essentially convert the Applicant's fixed-term appointment to an IC contract, administered by UNOPS, was taken while the Applicant was still a staff member of the United Nations Secretariat and thus ST/AI/2013/4 applies to the Applicant.

The non-renewal of the Applicant's fixed

The purported abolition of the Applicant's post was in fact a conversion of his fixed-term contract into an IC contract.

i. The functions of the fixed-term appointment that the Applicant had been encumbering are identical to those of the IC contract that he was offered by UNOPS.

j. By hiring the Applicant on an IC contract following the purported abolition of his post, the Organization enjoys the benefit of obtaining exactly the same services from him that he had previously provided to the Organization under his fixed-term appointment. This state of affairs contravenes the provisions of section 3.7(b) of ST/AI/2013/4 (Consultants and individual contractors).

Unequal treatment of similarly situated staff members

k. Another LA whose post had been abolished was placed against a vacant postns aboli0nother44.38 4 .onoth9 .o2ati Tmutm[)]TJ10 0 1 144.38 E8[2ti Tmutm[)

improper purposes. The Applicant bears the burden of proving that the discretion not to renew his or her appointment was not validly exercised.

A comparative review was not required and the outsourcing of the LA functions was proper in the circumstances.

h. There was no requirement for the Mission to subject the Applicant and others similarly placed to a comparative review process. The Department of Field Support Downsizing Guidelines provide that locally

representatives had an opportunity to respond by engaging in discussions with the National Staff Union representatives under the UNOPS contractual modality.

The Respondent did not violate any provisions of ST/AI/2013/4.

n. The Applicant's claim that the Organization violated section 3.7(b) of ST/AI/2013/4 is inapposite. Section 1.1 of that Administrative Instruction sets out the scope and procedure under which the United Nations Secretariat may directly engage individual consultants and individual contractors for temporary assistance in order to respond quickly, flexibly and effectively to organizational priorities.

o. MONUSCO did not engage LAs under the framework of ST/AI/2013/4. Rather, the Mission decided to engage individual contractors under agreements administered by UNOPS which are governed by the UNOPS Financial Regulations and Rules.

p. Insofar as the Applicant claims that the award of individual contracts by UNOPS violated any rules, such a violation would not render the non-renewal of the Applicant's appointment unlawful. The Applicant was not entitled to be engaged under an individual contract with UNOPS.

q. If indeed the engagement of the Applicant under a UNOPS agreement contravened UNOPS contracting rules as the Applicant claims, the remedy is not monetary compensation for the Applicant, but rather the voiding of the said contract.

Considerations

18. The Tribunal will now consider whether the challenge against the non-renewal decision is receivable and whether there is any merit in the Applicant's other claims.

19. With regard to the issue of the receivability, the Tribunal agrees with the Respondent's submission of law that the Applicant cannot challenge the abolition

of his post by a decision of the General Assembly which by itself is akin to a country's constitution, the higher norm, and the supreme organ of the Organization.

20. By the same token, a decision of the General Assembly is binding on the Secretary-General who has a duty to implement it. The Applicant lacks the capacity to challenge the non-renewal of his appointment in so far as it is properly implemented in consequence of the General Assembly's decision to abolish it.

21. In *Ovcharenko et al*³

26. The Applicant in supplementary pleadings raised the issue of about five other LAs in Bukavu and Kinshasa who continued to enjoy fixed-term contracts after all LA posts in these two duty stations were said to have been abolished. He also raised the issue of another former LA who was laterally transferred to an Administrative Assistant post. His argument was that he did not receive equal treatment with these staff members following the abolition of his post.

27. The Respondent in reply explained that the five LAs in question had encumbered borrowed posts from other sections at the time of the abolition of the 80 LA posts in Bukavu and Kinshasa and were therefore not affected by the abolitions. One of them although identified as an LA was actually serving as a Supply Assistant. Their fixed term contracts were later extended to 30 June 2016.

28. With regard to the one other LA who was laterally transferred to a vacant post of Administrative Assistant at the Mission at the time of the abolitions, there is evidence that the Mission had published an Information Circular dated 18 May 2015. In that Information Circular published on MONUSCO's intranet only, those to be affected by the abolitions were invited to apply to other vacant posts at the Mission that matched their profiles. The said LA successfully applied and was laterally transferred to the post of Administrative Assistant.

29. These explanations by the Respondent were not challenged. The Tribunal in these circumstances does not find that unequal treatment occurred in the implementation of the Mission's restructuring which led to the abolition of 80 LA posts in Bukavu and Kinshasa including the Applicant's post.

Conclusions

30. The Tribunal finds that the Applicant's claim regarding the non-renewal of his fixed-term appointment is not receivable. Further, his claims regarding his recruitment under an IC contract by UNOPS and lack of equal treatment have no merit. The Application is accordingly refused.

