

5.

11. Given that the present case was examined by the Appeals Tribunal as a matter of fact, the Tribunal to consider the 8A90 investigation file (4) as not been submitted by the Respondent and that the Tribunal is satisfied that the information provided by the submissions filed by the parties in Case No. UNDT/GVA/2015/005 and in the present one, the Tribunal does not find it necessary to order a hearing to adjudicate the case and accordingly decide on the appeal based on the written submissions and documents filed.

%. In addition to the above, the Applicant did not demonstrate the burden of proof that she suffered an actual damage from the contested decision and

d. Consequently, the Respondent asks for the Applicant to be rejected.

Consideration

22. The Applicant's Tribunal found in its Judgment 2016/UNAT/6: that the Directorate Tribunal did not effectively suffer undue hardship in conducting the Applicant's complaint against its. As a consequence, it did not

)as 2een %used does not sus"end t)e t\$me #m\$t to su2m\$t fu't)e' fo'ma#
%om"#a\$nts.

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2015/005/R1

Ju3932(T)7.436 6 8(/) - 4D.436 6 8(/) - 4g.436 6 8(/) - 4m(/) -96215

Conclusion

3@. 9n 1\$e4 of t)e fo'ego\$d5 -2.792 6 01B(3)9. 6 9939((3)9. 6 9939() - 5 . 8 4 0 1 4 (t) 0 0 . 8 7 3 0 4 6 (d (g) 9 . 6 9 8 4