



Introduction

1. On 13 May 2011, the Applicant, a Senior Administrative Assistant, in the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (“UNAMA”), filed an application contesting the decision made by the Chief, Human Resources Policy Services, Office of Human Resources Management (“OHRM”) and the Assistant-Secretary General, OHRM, to: “withdraw the charges that have been levied under the qualification that no evaluation on the merits had taken place and the (implied) decision upon the withdrawal not to offer him compensation for moral damages as a result of the admitted wrongful act to charge”. The Respondent’s reply was filed on 15 June 2011.

2. By Order No. 267 (NY/2013) dated 23 October 2013, the Tribunal requested the Applicant to inform the Tribunal, on or before 29 October 2013, whether he wished to maintain his application. If the Applicant wished to maintain his application, the parties were ordered to file their respective closing submission by 19 October 2013.

3. On 29 October 2013, the Applicant informed the Tribunal that he wished to maintain his application. Subsequently, on 6 November 2013, the parties filed a joint submission informing the Tribunal that they entered into informal negotiations and sought a suspension of the proceedings for one month.

4. By Orders Nos. 300 (NY/2013), 335 (NY/2013) and 5 (NY/2014), the Tribunal granted the parties’ consecutive requests to suspend the proceedings from 8 November 2013 until 10 February 2014.

5. On 5 February 2014, the Applicant filed a submission stating that “[p]ursuant to the terms and conditions of a confidential settlement agreement, [he] respectfully requests to withdraw his application [and] ... all of his allegations and claims in the proceedings”.

11.

Conclusion

In the light of the above considerations, the Tribunal DECIDES:

15. The Applicant has withdrawn the matter in finality, including on the merits