Case No.:
 UNDT/NY/2010/070

 Judgment No.:
 UNDT/2013/075

 Date:
 3 May 2013

Introduction

1. On 17 May 2010, the Applicant, a former Learning Specialist at the P-4 level in the Organizational Learning and Decogenhent Section ("OLDS"), United Nations Children's Fund ("UNICEF"), filed an paplication with the Dispute Tribunal contesting the 22 December 2009 decision the Director, Division of Human Resources ("the Director"), UNICEF, to dismiss her complaint against the Chief of OLDS ("the Chief") for harassment and a

they may be couched in other terms, *ranejudicata*, which means that the applicant does not have the right toilog the same complaint again.

7. Once a matter has been determined with finality, parties should not be able to re-litigate the same issue. Assue, broadly speaking, assmatter of fact or question of law in a dispute between two or momenties which a court is called upon to decide and pronounce itself on in its judgmenticle 2.1 of the Tribunal's Statute states that the Tribunal "shall be competent to hear parts judgment on an application filed by an individual", as provided for in art. 3.1 of the Statute. Generally, a judgment involves a final determination of the proceedings or of a particular issue in those oppreedings. The object of thes *judicata* rule is that "there must be an end to litigation" in order "to serve the stability of the judicial process" (*Meron* 2012-UNAT-198) and that a litigant schold not have to answer the same cause twice.

8. Therefore, a determination on a technize ainterlocutory matter is not a final disposal of a case, and ander for withdrawal is not alwyse decisive of the issues raised in a case. *Whonagas* UNDT/2010/074, the Tribunal edalt with a withdrawal by the applicant on the grounds that hterinded to commence proceedings against the Organization in the national courtes Venezuela. The Tribunal enquired of the applicant's counsel whethere applicant was aware asthree status of the United Nations before national courts, the factatthe United Nations retained discretion regarding its own immunity, and therefor the hurdles the applicant might face seeking relief in such a manner. Furtheort withstanding that the matter had not been canvassed on the merits, it would underkely for it to be reinstated once disve of t-71 D .00einstielioninstielioninuch2 TD 0 Tc .245

a general principle of procedural law that the right to institute legal proceedings is predicated upon the dition that the person using this right has a legitimate interest in initiating and maintaining legal action. Access to the court has to deen ied to those who are no longer interested in the prceedings instituted.

9. In the instant case, the Tribunalnotis that the aforesaid request by the Applicant is an unequivocal withdravoof the application with informed consent, and premised on a full and final settlementary claims what so ever and how so ever arising from this cause of action, hour to reinstate or appeal.

10. The Applicant having confirmed that estins indeed withdrawing the matter fully, finally and entirely, including on thenerits, without right of reinstatement or appeal, dismissal of the case with a viteow finality of proceedings is the most appropriate course of action.

11. In view of the nature of the claim in dispute and those sts already incurred, as well as potential costs of subsequenity attion, the Tribunacommends both parties and their Counsel for their efforts in relating the case amicably. The Tribunal notes that such efforts should be encouragees amicable resolution of cases saves the valuable resources of staff and the Organization read contributes to the harmonious working relationship between the parties.

12. The Tribunal further observes that thepAicant has requested that her name be withheld from any judgment or orderublished in her case. This request was motivated on the fact that "she isrmently employed by anber [United Nations] entity under a contract subjetot renewal and due to the site investment of the issues

Conclusion

13. The Applicant has withdrawn the matfelly, finally and entirely, including on the merits, with the intention of rebision g the dispute between the parties in finality. There no longer being any deteinantion to make, this application is dismissed in its entitive without liberty toreinstate or appeal.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this ³ day of May 2013

Entered in the Register on this day of May 2013

(Signed)

Hafida Lahiouel, Registrar, New York