



decision “alleged to be in non-compliance with the terms of appointment or the contract of employment” filed by any current or former staff member of the United Nations or any person making claims in th

Receivability ratione materiae

15. The Tribunal need not address the Respondent's argument that no specific administrative decision is identified by the Applicant, as the present application is nevertheless manifestly inadmissible for the reasons identified below.

Receivability—failure to comply with a statutory requirement of submitting a management evaluation request

16. Pursuant to art. 8.1 of the Statute of the Dispute Tribunal, read together with staff rule 11.2(a), an applicant must, as a mandatory first step, request management evaluation of a contested decision before filing an application with the Dispute Tribunal (see *Planas* 2010-UNAT-049, para. 23). The purpose of such management evaluation is primarily to allow the manage

Conclusion

19. In all the above circumstances, the present application is therefore dismissed.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this 19th day of November 2012

Entered in the Register on this 19th day of November 2012

(Signed)

Hafida Lahiouel, Registrar, New York