



- 1. The United Nations Appeals Tribunal (Appeals Tribunal) has before it an appeal filed**

**occupied at the time. This suggestion was endorsed by the Director of the Coordination Response Division, OCHA-New York. The 2010 cost plan for OCHA-OPT, as approved by the**

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

THE UNITED NATIONS A

**Considerations**

**22. Both the Appeals Tribunal and the Administrative Tribunal of the International Labour Organization (ILOAT) have held that it is well settled jurisprudence that “an international organization necessarily has power to restructure some or all of its departments or units, including the abolition of posts, the creation of new posts and the redeployment of staff”.<sup>1</sup>**

**23. Ms. Pacheco argues that the UNDT made errors of fact concerning the abolition of her post. The UNDT considered all of the evidence and carefully weighed the evidence in light of the specific case argued by Ms. Pacheco in challenging the decision to abolish her post. In her appeal, Ms. Pacheco does not identify any evidence which contradicts the findings of the UNDT**

**been sworn in prior to giving testimony. A review of the trial record reveals that Ms. Pacheco did not raise any of these issues prior to the witness g**

