
UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL D 'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES

Judgment No. 2024-UNAT-1416

Counsel for Applicant : Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent Patricia C. Aragonés

JUDGE G

person having been appointed directly from a roster of pre-approved candidates and without any formal interviews being conducted.

UNAT Judgment

8. While he Da ckb 0.00 Q(n)-3 0r d12.8 (]2J EM 25.957 0 Td (2.935.3 (h)(f 0 TEMC /Span <</MCID 45

THE U

Indeed, we note that he himself applied to the UNDT. While issues affecting staff members' pensions are dealt with by the UNJSPF (and on appeals, by the UNAT), matters relating to the employment of UNJSPF staff members are within the mainstream United Nations employment jurisdiction ; that is, after timely management evaluation, they come before the UNDT and, on appeal therefrom, also to the UNAT.

20. Mr. Dorji's application for revision is, in effect, a request that we reconsider his previous unsuccessful appeal which was, in turn, an unsuccessful attempt to relitigate his claims of constructive and unlawful dismissal. Indeed, the Applicant's submissions are essentially areiteration of the arguments previously advanced and rejected, and a continuation of the innapropriate and unfounded accusations directed at persons and institutions who and which have found against him.

21. The Applicant has failed to meet the statutory requirements of establishing a relevant, previously unknown, and decisive fact. His application fails at the first hurdle.

22.

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2024-UNAT