Inter-State Dialogue on Internal Displacement: Promoting Global Platforms?

Dr Ben Hudson, Lecturer, University of Exeter

Dr Bríd Ní Ghráinne, Senior Researcher, Judicial Studies Institute, Masaryk

University

August 2020

Research Briefing Paper

UNSG High Level Panel on Internal Displacement

 $T \rightarrow (L_{p,p}, T_{p})$

For full analysis and reference to sources, see IDRP research paper by the same authors.

The work of the Internal Displacement Research Programme (IDRP) is supported by the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI) Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) through its Interdisciplinary

There is no formal State-based forum at the global level speci cally dedicated to internal displacement. This executive summary thus: (i) explores the potential of existing fora as sites or models for inter-State dialogue on internal displacement (sections 1-3); and (ii) assesses the need for a dedicated global forum on internal displacement (section 4).

Speci c attention is given to: a) human rights platforms, particularly UN human rights treaty bodies (HRTBs) and the Universal Periodic Review (UPR), a procedure of the UN Human Rights Council in which all States participate (in section 1); b) refugee-related fora, namely the Executive Committee of the High Commissioner's Programme (EXCOM) and Global Compact on Refugees (GCR) (in section 2); and c) informal fora speci cally on internal displacement, such as the GP20 process and the Displacement Dialogues (in section 3).

1. UN human rights platforms

Internal displacement is often framed as a human rights issue. UN HRTBs have frequently addressed internal displacement. However, HRTB procedures are generally not fora for inter-State discussion, but instead bilateral dialogues between HRTBs and individual States. Thus, by design, their capacity for inter-State dialogue is limited.

The UPR can more accurately be characterised as a truly global mechanism for inter-State dialogue. It is intended to be 'a cooperative mechanism, based on an interactive dialogue' between Member States, 'with the full involvement of the country concerned and with consideration given to its capacity-building needs.'¹ However, internal displacement is:

- Scarcely addressed, even in respect to those States with the highest IDP populations;
- Narrowly conceptualised, with an almost universal absence of references to disaster-induced displacement; and
- Susceptible to political tensions, which when combined with the UPR's very public arena, can inhibit constructive peer-to-peer discussion and limit scrutiny of State practices.

2. Refugee protection fora

1

IDPs are similar to refugees in many ways. As such, the o ce of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) nds itself involved in both IDP and refugee response.

One way to feed into UNHCR's policy vis-à-vis IDPs is through EXCOM, which is composed of States. However, EXCOM's capacity to systematically address issues relating to internal displacement is restricted by the limits of UNHCR's operational mandate with respect to IDPs, speci cally:

- Its mandate is focused principally on the refugee response;
- Its IDP e orts thus mainly relate to con ict-induced displacement; and