

Case No.: U

UNDT/NY/2012/005

Order No.: Date:

113 (NY/2014) 8 May 2014

Original:

English

Before: Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Registry: New York

Registrar: Hafida Lahiouel

SPRAUTEN

٧.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

ON WITHDRAWAL

Counsel for Applicant: George G. Irving

Counsel for Respondent: Kong Leong Toh, UNOPS

Case No. UNDT/NY/2012/005 Order No. 113 (NY/2014)

Introduction

1. On 20 January 2012, the Applicant, a former staff member of the United Nations Office for Project Services "UNOPS"), who was employed by

Case No. UNDT/NY/2012/005 Order No. 113 (NY/2014)

Notice of withdrawal

5. On 7 May 2014, the Applicanilled a submission, stating:

In view of the fact that agreemtelmas now been reached and finalized through the execution of a settlern temperement of all outstanding claims related to the application, the Applicant wishes to request the withdrawal of his application fully, finally, and entirely on the understanding that this will restitute a final determination on the merits, and is without appeal.

Consideration

- 6. The desirability of finality of disutes within the workplace cannot be gainsaid (see Hashimi Order No. 93 (NY/2011) and Goodwin UNDT/2011/104). Equally, the desirability of finality of disutes in proceedings requires that a party should be able to raise a valid defence of judicata, which provides that a matter between the same persons, involving the thanker cause of action, may not be adjudicated twice (see hanks 2010-UNAT-026bis, Costa 2010-UNAT-063, El-Khatib 2010-UNAT-066, Beaudry 2011-UNAT-129). As stated in Bangoura UNDT/2011/202, matters that stem from them cause of action, though they may be couched in other terms, are judicata, which means that the applicant does not have the right to bring the same complaint again.
- 7. The object of the es judicata rule is that "there must be an end to litigation" in order "to ensure the stabilitof the judicial process" 45 snDa0.27s73se391 TwNer fi /TT6 1 T

Res judicata

Case No. UNDT/NY/2012/005 Order No. 113 (NY/2014)

Conclusion

9. The Applicant has withdrawn this causefinality, including on the merits, with the intention of resolving all aspects the dispute between the parties. There no longer being any determination to malters application is dismissed in its entirety without liberty to reinstate.

(Signed)

Judge Ebrahim-Carstens

Dated this 8 day of May 2014