



Case No.:

provided. Counsel for the Applicant was, however, in a moving vehicle at the time of the CMD. The combination of loud interference and poor connection from being in a moving vehicle, compelled the Tribunal to adjourn the proceedings.

9. On 10 December 2019, the Tribunal issued Order No. 213 (NBI/2019) rescheduling the CMD for 13 January 2020.

10. On 10 January 2020, the Applicant filed a Motion for reconsideration of Order No. 213 (NBI/2019). He sought this reconsideration on two grounds. The first is related to the factual circumstances leading to the hearing, while the second one derives from the publication of Order No. 213 (NBI/2019).

11. A CMD took place as scheduled. However, matters listed for discussion on the agenda were not discussed. Instead the discussion addressed only the eration of Order No. 213 (NBI/2019).

12. On 14 January 2020, the Tribunal issued Order No. 005 (NBI/2020) setting timelines for the parties to make further submissions on the motion for reconsideration.

13. On 6 February 2020, following the p th Order No. 005 (NBI/2020), the Tribunal issued Order no. 031 (NBI/2020) dismissing the motion for reconsideration of Order No. 213 (NBI/2019). The Tribunal also directed the Registry to assign this matter to a different judge to ensure that the matter proceeds with no perception of ill will on account of these proceedings.

14. The matter was accordingly transferred to the docket of the undersigned Judge.



Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2018/041

Order No.: