UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL Date: 29 June 2018 Original: English		Case No.:	UNDT/NBI/2018/068
	UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL	Order No.:	104 (NBI/2018)
Original: English		Date:	29 June 2018
- Ofiginal. Eligitsi	-	Original:	English

Before: Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena Kwakye-Berko

ATUYA

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON AN APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF ACTION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 13 OF THE UNDT RULES OF PROCEDURE

Counsel for the Applicant: Edwin Nhliziyo

Counsel for the Respondent: ALS/OHRM

would be called upon to answer and that it is just, convenient and urgent for the Tribunal to intervene and, without which intervention, the Respondent's action or decision would irreparably alter the *status quo*.²

Prima Facie Unlawfulness

10. At this stage, the Applicant need only show *prima facie* unlawfulness. The presumption of regularity may be rebutted by evidence of failure to follow applicable procedures, the presence of bias in the decision-making process, and consideration of irrelevant material or extraneous factors.³ The Applicant bears the burden of showing such irregularity in the selection exercise that creates doubt as to the lawfulness of the process.

11. Put another way, does it appear to the Tribunal that, unless it is satisfactorily rebutted by evidence, the claim of unlawfulness will succeed?

15. As there is little that cannot be monetarily compensated for, the Tribunal has previously held that the concept is a little more nuanced than the question of money