

The relevant process is of a final order. This is also reflected in the
Applicant's Tea + eader and the 'PC' Appeal Assessment.

10. On 18 December 2015, following a "gap" in the 'PC
' Appeal Assessment, the Applicant was a prole*. The Applicant is later
erall("for"ed & the Chief 'PC' she had do-#s a-o-# h s a## -de as a
!"se?-e"le of ds! pl "ar(a!# o" #a7e" aga "s# h % 0-p!ge#e#79 0 T dD [(&5203(o)-0.96 - 1.81

1/. O" 2@ 5e&r-ar(2015\$ #he Appl !a"# re?-es#ed %a"age%e"# e.al-a# o" of
#he %p-g"ed de! s o"\$ a"d f led #he prese"# appl !a# o".

14. As d re!#ed &(#he Tr &-"al\$ #he Oespo"de"# f led h

se!"d%e"#. The Ch ef\$ 'PC\$ re?-es#ed #he !o".ers o" of #he pos# ahead(
o" or &efore 20 5e&r-ar(2015\$ as per her e%a l of #ha# da#e.

g.

The "for%a# o" re!e .ed &(#he Ch ef\$ 'PC\$ o" d s! pl "ar(a!# o" allegedl(#a7e"
aga "s# #he Appl !a"#. ,ased o" #he "for%a# o" &ef

/A. The e;a!# "a#-re of #he %a#er als " !I-ded " #he Appl !a"#Cs perso""el f le
a"d rel ed o" &(#he : r "g 6 a"ager s -"!lear a"d #he Tr &-"al has "o# &ee"

40. The present case is the Chief of Police's alleged re-employment of the
Personnel as a leasehold employee as she stated had "gone" to her area of
responsibility in 2015. She stated that she had her re-employment of the
employee in a "derivative" short-term.

41. The deed of the "special" deed of the "one" and the "employee" before the
responsibility of the "employee" is also derived. The re-employment of the
employee is stated.

Irreparable damage

42.

