

the remit of PFP. She also identified specific steps, such as providing counseling and training budget, which the Administration had t

Prima facie unlawfulness

d.

the Tribunal to suspend, during the pendency of the management evaluation, the implementation of a contested administrative decision that is the subject of an ongoing management evaluation, where the decision appears prima facie to be unlawful, in cases of particular urgency and where its implementation would cause irreparable damage.

17. The email of 21 May 2011 does not contain any administrative decision that could be reviewed by this Tribunal. It simply reiterates some of the commitments made by the Administration to support staff on abolished posts. It further gives advice to the Applicant and clarifies some issues without having any impact on her rights with respect to her terms of appointment or contract of employment (see article 2.1(a) of the Statute). Therefore, no suspension of action can be ordered with respect to this email.

18. Additionally, as far as the abolition of her post is concerned, it

Conclusion

25. In view of the foregoing, the application for suspension of action is rejected.

(Signed)

Judge Thomas Laker

Dated this 31st day of May 2011

Entered in the Register on this 31st day of May 2011

(Signed)

Víctor Rodríguez, Registrar, Geneva