





6. On 17 December 2009, the Executive Secretary, JIU, apprised the Applicant that HRMS had informed her of “an issue” related to his background with an impact on the issuance of his contract.

7. On 24 December 2009, the Deputy Chief, HRMS, UNOG, met with the Applicant and informed him orally that it had been decided not to recruit him on a

contested decision was made. Hence, it appears arbitrary to refuse issuing a new contract at this stage.

- b. The case is of particular urgency because the Applicant has already been working on his new assignment since 14 December 2009. The implementation of the decision would result in the Applicant being left with no employment. Moreover, any future applications for employment may be negatively affected.
- c. Irreparable damage will be caused because the Applicant would be immediately separated or forced to sign a different





