

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2019/087

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/179 Date: 16 October 2020

Original: English

Before: Judge Margaret Tibulya

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena Kwakye-Berko

TANIFUM

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT ON RECEIVABILITY

Counsel for the Applicant:

Counsel for the Respondent:

Lucienne Pierre, AAS/ALD/OHR Natalie Camila Puchalka, AAS/ALD/OHR

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/179

Background

1. The Applicant is a former Acting Chief, Translation and Editorial Section

(, at the United Nations Office at Nairobi (UNON). On 25 June 2019, he filed

an application contesting the decision of the Under-Secretary-

General for Management Strategy, Policy and Compliance, that had he remained a staff

member, a disciplinary measure of at least separation from service with compensation

in lieu of notice and without termination indemnity would have been imposed

. The Sanction Letter was placed in the App

File.

2. The Respondent replied to the application on 1 August 2019.

3. On 9 October 2020, the Respondent filed a motion requesting the Tribunal to

determine receivability as a preliminary matter.

4. The Applicant filed a response to the motion on 13 October 2020.

Summary of the relevant facts

5. The Applicant commenced service with the United Nations International

Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (UNICTR) in February 2000 and took early

retirement in December 2015. On 14 September 2016, he started on a temporary

appointment at the P-5 level as Acting Chief of TES, Department of Conferences

Services, UNON.

6. On 27 April 2018, the Investigations Division of the Office of Internal

ceived a report of suspected misconduct implicating

the Applicant.1

7. By memorandum, dated 30 September 2018, Mr. Ben Swanson, Director,

ID/OIOS, referred the case to then Office of Human Resources

¹ Reply, annex 1.

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/179

Management (OHRM), now the Office of Human Resources (OHR), for appropriate action. 2

- 8. The Applicant separated from the Organization on 14 September 2018 upon the expiration of his appointment.
- 9. On 2 November 2018, the Assistant Secretary-General/OHRM informed the Applicant that they were considering pursuing the allegations against him through the disciplinary process and sought his agreement to cooperate in the process.³
- 10. On 23 November 2018, the Applicant agreed to cooperate with the disciplinary process.⁴
- 11. By memorandum dated 10 January 2019, formal allegations of misconduct were issued to the Applicant.⁵
- 12. By e-mail dated 26 February 2019, the Applicant submitted his comments on the allegations of misconduct.⁶
- 13. By letter dated 21 March 2019, the Applicant was informed of the contested decision.⁷

3DUWLHV¶ VXEPLVVLRQV

Receivability

The Respondent

14. The application was filed late in respect of the contested decision. The Applicant received the contested decision on 25 March 2019. The deadline for filing

² Ibid.

³ Application, annex 2.

⁴ Application, annex 3.

⁵ Reply, annex 6.

⁶ Reply, annex 8.

⁷ Application, annex 6.

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/179

process. Judicial economy would have best been served by a timely challenge of the receivability of the application.

21.

entirety and to consider his application as receivable. Alternatively, the Applicant prays the Tribunal to join the receivability to the merits and to decide on it at the end of the trial.

22. The Applicant also seeks leave to remain anonymous, considering the risk of reputation damage that this case would cause to him, personally and professionally, especially if he is connected to an allegation that he did not have the chance to defend himself against fully through a judicial process.

Considerations

23. Article 8.1(d)

provides that an application

Judgment No.: UNDT/2020/179