

# UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE

## **Introduction**

1. The Applicant is a former staff member of the United Nations Organization Stabilization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUSCO). He served at the GS-4 level.
2. On 11 November 2015, he filed an Application contesting the decision not to renew his fixed-term appointment and to separate him from service on the grounds of abolition of his post.
3. The Respondent filed a Reply to the Application on 14 December 2015.
4. The Tribunal, with the consent of the Parties decided, in accordance with art. 16.1 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure, that an oral hearing is not required in determining this case and that it will rely on the Parties' pleadings and written submissions.

## **Facts**

5. The Applicant had served in Bukavu within MONUSCO as a Language Assistant (LA) until his fixed-term appointment which ended on 30 June 2015 was not renewed on grounds of abolition of post.
6. Before the said abolition, the United Nations Security Council in its Resolution 2147 (2014)<sup>1</sup>, had called on MONUSCO to enhance the flexibility, effectiveness and capacity of the operations of the military force in the implementation of the Mission's mandate. It also pointed to the need for a clear exit strategy.
7. Thereafter, on 26 February 2015, the Secretary-General proposed a budget for MONUSCO for the period from 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2016<sup>2</sup>. The said budget, among J

8. Following the Secretary-General's budget proposal to the General Assembly, MONUSCO issued Information Circulars to its entire staff on 6 and 9 March 2015, 14 April 2015, and 20 April 2015, with regard to the proposed budget, the establishment of a Comparative Review Panel (CRP), and the review criteria.

9. Under the proposed new structure for the Mission, which was approved by the General Assem

15. Shortly thereafter, the Applicant was offered an Individual Contractor (IC) contract by the United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS) for the position of LA within MONUSCO. This IC contract was for a period of one-month effective 1 July 2015 but was subsequently extended.

**Applicant's case**

16. The Applicant's case may be summarized as follows:

*The recommendation of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly that led to the abolition of the Applicant's post was in violation of the United Nations statutory framework.*

a. The Secretary-General's report of 26 February 2015 to the General Assembly regarding the proposed financing arrangements for MONUSCO for the period from 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2016 recommended the abolition of 80 LA posts in MONUSCO for the 2015/2016 budget cycle. The said report did not make any reference to reengaging these LAs as ICs.

b

themselves does not alter the Organization's obligations under paragraph 3.7 of ST/AI/2013/4.

e. Moreover, the decision to essentially convert the Applicant's fixed-term appointment to an IC contract, administered by UNOPS, was taken while the Applicant was still a staff member of the United Nations Secretariat and thus ST/AI/2013/4 applies to the Applicant.

*The non-renewal of the Applicant's fixed-term appointment and his attendant separation were unlawful because no comparative review was conducted.*

f. MONUSCO's approved budget for the period of 1 July 2015 to 30 June 2016 was that 80 LAs in MONUSCO's Field Administrative Offices be abolished and the remaining 92 LA posts be reassigned to different offices within the Mission.

g. Although the CCPO's memorandum of 22 May 2015 to the Applicant stated that he had been the subject of a comparative review process in which he was not successful, no comparative review was actually undertaken with respect to him. It was never communicated to the Applicant how the purported comparative review with regard to the 172 LA posts was conducted, or where he ranked in the exercise. The Applicant was never asked to provide the Mission with his PHP and recent e-PASes before the purported comparative review process took place.

h. This apparent lack of a comparative review process further renders the decision not to renew the Applicant's contract and to separate him from service unlawful, as he ought to have been given the opportunity to undergo a comparative review process in order to be considered for the remaining LA posts in the Field Administrative Offices of MONUSCO.



b. Pursuant to art. 2.1(a) of its Statute, the Dispute Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to review the matter of the abolition of the post the Applicant encumbered and the recommendation of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly that led to the abolition of the post. These claims are not receivable and should be rejected.

c. The only reviewable administrative decision before the Dispute Tribunal is the decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment due to the abolition of her post.

*Submissions on the Merits*

*The decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment was lawful as the post he encumbered was subject to a legitimate restructuring of the Mission.*

d. A fixed-term appointment does not carry any expectancy of renewal, irrespective of length of service (staff regulation 4.5(c); staff rule 4.13(c)) EMC 3 EMC 3 EM854(tur 1 135.38 431.11 Tm[ )JTJET EMC /P 5b3B004800038900





representatives had an opportunity to respond by engaging in discussions with the National Staff Union representatives under the UNOPS contractual modality.

*The Respondent did not violate any provisions of ST/AI/2013/4.*

n.





Case No. UNDT/NBI/2015/157

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/164

*(Signed)*

Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

Dated this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2016

Entered in the Register on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2016

*(Signed)*

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi