

---

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2015/154

Judgment No.: UNDT/2016/161

Date: 23 September 2016

Original: English

---

**Before:** Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

**Registry:** Nairobi

**Registrar:** Abena Kwakye-Berko

MUHIMUZI

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

---

**JUDGMENT**

---

**Counsel for the Applicant:**  
Nicole Washienko, OSLA

**Counsel for the Respondent:**

Stephen Di(n)-3i(n)-3i(n)-3i(n)-3i(n)-3i(n)-3i(9W, OS)-11(L)116nnnnn9W, OSLnn9W, OSLnnn9W, OSnñnn

## **Introduction**

1.

8. Following the Secretary-Assembly, MONUSCO issued Information Circulars to its entire staff on 6 and 9 March 2015, 14 April 2015, and 20 April 2015, with regard to the proposed budget, the establishment of a Comparative Review Panel (CRP), and the review criteria.

9. Under the proposed new structure for the Mission, which was approved by the General Assembly, the military force in Bukavu was to be reduced by one battalion and Kinshasa would no longer be an operational base. As a result, LA posts in Kinshasa and Bukavu were abolished. This meant that a budgetary reduction of 80 LA posts in the 2015/2016 budget cycle for MONUSCO was done.

10. The Applicant, who was an LA in Bukavu, was affected by the abolition. A memorandum from the MONUSCO Director of Mission Support (DMS) informed him of this development. He was also informed through a memorandum from the Chief Civilian Personnel Officer (CCPO), Ms. Xaba-Motsa.

11. As at 16 June 2015, the Applicant, along with the other LAs at the Mission whose posts were at the time proposed for abolishment sent a letter to the Special Representative of the Secretary-General (SRSG) for MONUSCO contesting the non-renewal of their fixed-term appointments by reason of abolition of post.

12. On 8 June 2015, Mr. Eric Blanchard Jibikila, who was a member of the Executive Committee of the National Staff Un

Case No.

Case No.



b. Pursuant to art. 2.1(a) of its Statute, the Dispute Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to review the matter of the abolition of the post the Applicant encumbered and the recommendation of the Secretary-General to the General Assembly that led to the abolition of the post. These claims are not receivable and should be rejected.

c. The only reviewable administrative decision before the Dispute Tribunal is the decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment for the abolition of her post.

*Submissions on the Merits*

*The decision not to renew the Applicant's appointment was lawful as the post he encumbered was subject to a legitimate restructuring of the Mission.*

d. A fixed-term appointment does not carry any expectancy of renewal, irrespective of length of service (staff regulation 4.5(c); staff rule 4.13(c)).

e. The Applicant has adduced no evidence that the decision not to renew his fixed-term appointment was unlawful. On 25 June 2015, the General Assembly abolished 80 LA posts to meet the operational and budgetary needs of the Mission. In conjunction with the MONUSCO military force, the MONUSCO Administration identified the LA posts in Bukavu and Kinshasa as the posts to be abolished. This decision was made in accordance with the change in Mission operations as mandated by the Security Council.

f. A proposal to restructure a mission that results in loss of employment for staff members falls within the Secretary-General's discretionary authority.

g. The exercise of the Secretary-General's authority was challenged and reviewed on the grounds that the staff member had a legitimate expectancy of renewal, that the exercise was attended by procedural irregularity, or that the decision was arbitrary or motivated by



representatives had an opportunity to respond by engaging in discussions

of his post by a decision of the General Assembly which by itself is akin to a Organization.

20. By the same token, a decision of the General Assembly is binding on the Secretary-General who has a duty to implement it. The Applicant lacks the capacity to challenge the non-renewal of his appointment in so far as it is properly

21. In *Ovcharenko et al*<sup>3</sup>, it was held that an administrative decision taken as a result of the decisions of the General Assembly is lawful and that the Secretary-General cannot be held accountable for executing such a decision.

22. With regard to the question whether the provisions of section 3.7(b) of ST/AI/2013/4 were contravened by the hiring of the Applicant under an IC contract by UNOPS after the abolition of his post to provide language services to the Mission, the Tribunal finds and holds that the said rules were not contravened.

23. This is because section 3.7(b) does not envisage a situation of post abolishment. The said section contemplates a situation where the post formerly encumbered by a former or retired staff member continues to exist and the separated staff member is reengaged as a consultant or IC to continue to perform the same functions.

24. The mischief that that section seeks to avoid is the continued indirect encumbrance of a post under the guise of a consultancy or individual contract by a staff member who by reason of retirement or other form of separation has left the Organization.

25. In the case of this Applicant, the post he previously encumbered as an LA had ceased to exist at the time UNOPS offered him the new contract as an IC at the Mission following the abolition of his post. Even if the Mission, by itself, had reengaged the Applicant as an IC, the Respondent cannot be said to have breached the provisions of section 3.7(b) of ST/AI/2013/4.

---

<sup>3</sup> 2015-UNAT 530.

26. The Applicant in supplementary pleadings raised the issue of about five other LAs in Bukavu and Kinshasa who continued to enjoy fixed-term contracts after all LA posts in these two duty stations were said to have been abolished. He also raised the issue of another former LA who was laterally transferred to an Administrative Assistant post. His argument was that he did not receive equal treatment with these staff members following the abolition of his post.

27. The Respondent in reply explained that the five LAs in question had encumbered borrowed posts from other sections at the time of the abolition of the 80 LA posts in Bukavu and Kinshasa and were therefore not affected by the abolitions. One of them although identified as an LA was actually serving as a Supply Assistant. Their fixed-term contracts were later extended to 30 June 2016.

28. With regard to the one other LA who was laterally transferred to a vacant post of Administrative Assistant at the Mission at the time of the abolitions, there is evidence that the Mission had published an Information Circular dated 18 May 2015. In that Info  
to be affected by the abolitions were invited to apply to other vacant posts at the Mission that matched their profiles. The said LA successfully applied and was laterally transferred to the post of Administrative Assistant.

29. These explanations by the Respondent were not challenged. The Tribunal in these circumstances does not find that unequal treatment occurred in the  
80 LA

### **Conclusions**

30. -renewal of  
his fixed-term appointment is not receivable. Further, his claims regarding his recruitment under an IC contract by UNOPS and lack of equal treatment have no merit. The Application is accordingly refused.

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2015/154

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/161

*(Signed)*

Judge Nkemdilim Izuako

Dated this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2016

Entered in the Register on this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2016

*(Signed)*

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi