

---

---

Before:





1). =0 memo&andum dated 16 3 a0 2015\$ the >"&"ng 3 anage& &e#ommended  
fou& #and"dates\$ "n#!ud"ng the A !"#ant\$ to the Cent&a! %e/"e : Comm"tee. The





1. In the present case, the Applicant has been translating and self-organizing his work in accordance with the standards and procedures to tasks at the P1 level without granting him a return and

f. The Applicant claims that the Authority's decision on the request for access to a legitimate copy of the information is unfounded. The Applicant claims that the information is as entitled to be disclosed both in whole and in part. The Applicant also claims that the information is also on the Authority's file.

g. The Authority adds no evidence of the alleged geographic area of the information.

h. The Authority claims that the information is of interest to the applicant's members. The Panel's assessment led to the Authority's decision being upheld and he failed to meet the burden of proof on the part of the applicant. The Administrative Tribunal addressed the applicant's claim that the information is of interest to the applicant's members. The Administrative Tribunal addressed the applicant's claim that the information is of interest to the applicant's members as being a legitimate copy and an ex officio member of the Council, as presented during the Authority's proceedings.

#### Bias by the initial Hiring Manager and the Applicant's inclusion in the roster

21. The Authority asserts that the information is of interest to the Council. The Council had a list of interests that included the information. The Council's decision is based on the fact that the information is of interest to the Council and that a different staff member from UN4G is also

22. The Panel notes that the status of the applicant as a member of the organization does not depend on the date of his admission to the organization or the date of his resignation.

21. The Panel notes that the applicant's resignation from the organization and his subsequent re-employment with the organization are not relevant to the determination of his status as a member of the organization. The Panel notes that the applicant's resignation from the organization and his subsequent re-employment with the organization are not relevant to the determination of his status as a member of the organization.

#### Administration of the written test

25. As noted in the Panel's decision in *Krioutchkov* (UNDT/2016/011) and *Krioutchkov* (UNDT/2016/012), the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules.

26. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules.

2A. The Panel also notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules. The Panel notes that the applicant's failure to provide a written test in Russian is not a violation of the organization's rules.

#ho"#e. ;n an0 #ase\$ the T&"5una! notes that the A !"#ant ased the test and : ent to the ne@t &ound of assessment\$ ".e.\$ the #om eten#0(5ased "nte&/"e : .

26. 2ast!0\$ the T&"5una! #annot ente&ta"n the A !"#antDs #!a"m that he : as su5Be#ted to a##&ued st&ess and an@"et0 and &e/ented f&om ade7uate!0 &e a&"ng fo& the test as he had to : a"t fo& 10 da0s unt"! the Adm"n"st&at"on #ommun"#ated to h"m that he : as a!!o : ed to hand : &"te h"s ans : e&s. ;t shou!d 5e &e#a!!ed that a&t of th"s e&"od e!a sed : h"!e the A !"#ant and the Adm"n"st&at"on e@#hanged on the "ssue. ;t "s on!0 no&ma! that the de#"s"on to de/"ate f&om the moda!"t"es that had 5een esta5!"shed and announ#ed to a!! #and"dates ta?es a #e&ta"n t"me. Add"t"ona!!0\$ the Adm"n"st&at"on g&anted a one(da0 e@tens"on &e#"se!0 to m"t"gate the "n#on/en"en#es de&"/ed f&om the fa#t that the a5o/e(ment"oned #ommun"#at"on : as sent the da0 5efo&e the dead!"ne "n"t"a!!0 set to ta?e the test.

elements of the cost and recommended him along with the other  
and dates.

2. The fact of the Tribunal does not demonstrate that an  
order was issued on the basis of some of his memos entered the  
A. The Tribunal found no evidence.

6. In light of all of the above, the Tribunal is of the view that the Administration has not demonstrated that the Applicant is a full-time and full-time employee. It is noted that the Applicant did not adduce sufficient evidence to demonstrate that his non-selection was due to an act of discrimination on the basis of his race, sex, age, and to out of the resumption of legal rights of the applicant.

A. In view of the foregoing, the Tribunal awards D+C; D+, E

The Applicant is awarded an amount of 10,000.00 for the

and 9,774.21 (9,774.21) - s7.205568