
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/GVA/2014/02

! "# \$ % & ' (No.: UNDT/2016/0' (

Date: 22 A) *+, 2016

Introduction

1. The Applicant filed on 22 'a" 2014(t)e A##&ant(

Bn t)s &ontekt and o* #u*#oses o al *e\in o*&\ing ou* a&t\on .%C t)e
*eg\on to 7)\&) 7e a*e #*o.\d\ing su##o*t and 8l se#a*ate\$" st*engt)en\ng ou* a##*oa&) to !e\$g\um and +u<em8ou*g(?;. @ 7%\$\$

11.

e. a situation. In said memorandum(s) the questioned the discontinuation of the
position and the subsequent decision to terminate the appointment effective
31 'a" 2014.

1F. In 7 'a" 2014 the Applicant alleged that the Tribunal's suspension of
action of the decision to discontinue the position and of the
consequent termination of the indefinite appointment. The Applicant was
represented under Case No. UNDT/GVA/ / /

1F9-0.95892(.)250] T J D/R21 11.28 T f D14.1872 0 T d D() T j D/R17 11.28 T f D12.5039 0 T d

as u*t)e* m%ss%ng do&uments(and t)e)o\$d%ng o an o*a\$)ea*%ng. >)e a\$so %\$ed
add%t%ona\$ e.%den&e.

32. !" , *de* No. 97 -GVA/2015l o 5 ' a" 2015(t)e 2es#ondent 7as o*de*ed
to #*o.%de add%t%ona\$ e.%den&e 8" 19 ' a" 2015(and t)e A##\$%&ant 7as g%.en
a&&ess to a *eda&ted .e*s%on o t)e ex parte

a. The respondent's observations on the Applicant's 1 June 2015 submission of 3 July 2015:

8. The Applicant's comments on the respondent's 8 July 2015:

&. The parties' 8 July 2015 joint statement of facts and issues (a joint schedule of documents (a list of authorizations and an authorization) made on 7 July) in respect of the effect of the amendment to art. 10.5-81 of the Treaty's provisions adopted on the Geneva Assembly on 1 February 2015: and

d. The parties' 8 July 2015 respective submissions on the authorization of the agents to undertake the investigation of the facts.

39. On 3 July 2015 (the respondent's observations as ordered.

40. On 10 July 2015 (the Applicant's comments on the respondent's 3 July 2015 submission.

41. On 16 July 2015 (the Applicant's submission in response to the

Treaty's provisions referred to in the investigation of the facts 5Te944&5(#).2092768(n)9.944(o)-0.960221(n)-0.960

anote* #ostition. >e&nd(8a&E \n 2005(t)e*e)ad 8een a *e&\$ass% %&at\ion o
t)e #ost t)at t)e A##\$%&ant en&um8e*ed *om t)e Gene*a\$ >e* .%&e &atego*"
to t)at o Nat\ona\$ P*o ess\ona\$, %&e*(and s)e 7as a##o\nted to t)e \$atte*:

8. Bn de&\d\ng to d\%s&ont\inue t)e A##\$%&ant\is #ost t)e*e 7as a a\%u*e to
)o\$d and #*omote t)e)\g)est standa*ds o et)\%a\$ and #*o ess\ona\$ &ondu&t
and t)e*e 7as an a\%use o aut)o*%t":

&. T)e*e)as 8een an a\%use o aut)o*%t" 8" t)e !*usse\$s 2e##esentat%.e
as t)e de&\s\ion to d\%s&ont\inue t)e t7o N, ! #os\%ons(one o 7)\%& t)e
A##\$%&ant en&um8e*ed(a##ea*s to 8e t)e management\is *es#onse to add*ess
t)e ongo\ng tens\ions \n t)e !*usse\$s 22 3 4 +ega\$ Un\it. Bn t)e same \$%ne(t)e
a&t t)at t)e memo*andum 8" t)e !*usse\$s 2e##esentat%.e on t)e #*o#osed
&)\nges \n t)e st*ut*ue o t)e , %&e(\n&\$ud\ng t)e a8o\$%t\ion o)e* #ost(%s
dated 23 >e#tem8e* 2013(7)\%&)s t)e same da" on 7)\%& t)e A##\$%&ant
)ad a d\% \u&t &on.e*sat\ion 7)t) t)e !*usse\$s 2e##esentat%.e s)o*t\$" a te*
e<#ess\ng &on&e*n a8out ee\$%ng t)at s)e needed anote* "ea* o >+ 3 , P(
s)ou\$d not 8e *ega*ded as a me*e &o\n&\den&e and 8e u*t)e* \n.est\gated.
T)e #)"s%&\an s)e &onsu\$ted on t)e ne<t da"(%e.(on 24 >e#tem8e* 2013(
)ssued a &e*t% %&ate o* a t)*ee=7eeE)ome *est. T)e a&t t)at s)e 7as d*% .en
to a #o\nt 7)e*s)e 7as ee\$%ng \$%Ee *es\gn\ng *om)e* #os\%on s)ou\$d not
g%.e &ause to d\%s&ont\inue)e* #os\%on:

d.

e. Classification of costs and staff in the *uses 2.2.3.4) as not
seen & conducted according to the nature of the duties

10. In the course of the National, the and International
Professional Posts in the United Nations have been established
and the Assignments Committee (AC) and not the 2AC (in
the context of the 114 of PPA+:

11. The duty to respond to the request of management
in the case of the relevant response procedure is
constituted as a fundamental right:

I. In the course of the above mentioned duties

12. The Commission on the contested decisions and the
substantive and procedural irregularities (as well as the
Administrative Tribunal's duty to review them:

13. The Tribunal to include that the relevant irregularities in the
process leading to the discontinuation of the posts were identified and
the consequent termination of the indefinite appointment (and that
the Tribunal constituted an abuse of authority in the manner in which it
acted and the employment conditions:

14. The Tribunal to the extent that the duty of the
respondent to the relevant decisions and to take remedial
action in the cases referred to the Dispute Tribunal (and the
respondent's duty to respond to the observations made in the
Administrative Tribunal's report and the subsequent discussions of the
meetings (04 3 6) 9. 2 2 1 6 6 4 - 2 2 9.) tot e 8 6 0 2 2 2 5 9 3 (t) 0. 8 7 4 3 4 7 (o)] T J 8 0 8 on

and the fact that the respondent's mind and
actions are based on a judgment motivated by reasons
related to the applicant's submissions.

3. Thus the authority to end a judgment gives the Judge an
inherent power to find a duty and define the administrative
decision made under a "fact" and identify "7) at the time
contested and so subject to judicial review." and would lead to
grant or not to grant the requested judgment.

46. The administrative decisions challenged in this case are

a. The discontinuation of the effective 1 June 2014 of the position of
Assistant Engineer - position No. 100111491; and

8.

51. Annex 1 (Part 5 of the ;ame 7oE #o.ides o* /Post C)anges0 and inter
alia o* t)e o\$\$o7%ng6

53. The Tribunal finds that the evidence on record shows that the discontinuation of the host employment of the Applicant resulted from its refusal to accept a restructuring exercise.

54. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization.

55. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization.

56. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant was a "dependent" employee of the host organization.

060221(e)-3.66523(n)3773(a)-14.325201((n)339.810(48.9586872(0-TdD(3TgD/RL
060221(&)-3.636()-0.96092(6)-0.9380 TdD()T3(e974347(%)J T J g772(-27.s221(&)-3.6478(t)-9.78436389.0014(5

disadvantaging the individual's assets in a case where the
2009 and 2010 and 2011 of the 70% share should not be
used as a mechanism of getting rid of an employee from
management management as being troublesome of those continued
as no longer deemed desirable.

5F.

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/027

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/035

#osts 7e\$\$ 8e"ond t)ose %n !e\$g%um a\$one. T)e*e %s no e.%den&e t)at t)e e**o* %n *es#e&t o t)e a8\$%t" o Nat%ona\$ P*o ess%ona\$, %&e*s to unde*taEe *eg%ona\$ 7o*E 7as t)e dete*m%nat%.e %ssue %n *es#e&t o t)e *eo*gan%Cat%on a e&t%ng man" o t)e o#e*at%ons o t)e UN5C2 %n 3 este*n 4u*o#e(%n&\$ud%ng !e\$g%um.

71. Bt %s u*t)e* noted t)at t)e &ons%de*at%ons *e e**ed to %n t)e memo*andum o 23 >e#tem8e* 2013 &annot 8e sa%d to)a.e 8een t)e *esu\$t o (o* %n an" 7a" &onne&t)ed to(t)e d%ss%on o t)e A##\$%&ant 7)t))e* su#e*. %so* on t)e same da". T)e memo*andum %s s%< #ages %n %engt)(and &ontains a deta%ed ana\$"%s o t)e *eDu%*ements o t)e UN5C2(as assessed 8" t)e !*usse\$s 3 4 2eg%ona\$ 2e#*esentat%.e. An" %n e*en&e to t)e e e&t t)at t)e a8o\$%t%on o t)e #ost en&um8e*ed 8" t)e A##\$%&ant 7as *e\$ated to t)e d%ss%on s)e)ad 7)t) t)e !*usse\$s 2e#*esentat%.e %s not su##o*ted 8" t)e e.%den&e. T)e A##\$%&ant)as not #*o.en t)at t)e %ssuan&e o t)e memo*andum on t)e same da" as t)e d%ss%on %s an"t)%ng e\$se t)an #u*e\$" &o%n&%denta\$.

72. Bn .%e7 o t)e o*ego%ng(t)e T*%8una\$ %nds t)at t)e #*o&ess \$eading to t)e a8o\$%t%on o t)e #ost en&um8e*ed 8" t)e A##\$%&ant *es#e&t)ed t)e a##\$%&a8Se #*o&edu*es(and t)at t)e*e %s no e.%den&e o %t 8e%ng ta%nted 8" %m#*o#e* mot%.e.

Termination of the Applicant's indefinite appointment

73. 3 %t) *es#e&t to te*m%nat%on o* a8o\$%t%on o #ost(sta *u\$e 9.6 inter alia #*o.%des t)at6

-el 4<&e#t as ot)e*7%se e<#*ess\$" #*o.%ded %n #a*ag*a#) - l 8e\$07 and sta *u\$e 13.1(% t)e ne&ess%t%es o se*. %&e *eDu%*e t)at a##o%ntments o sta mem8e*s 8e te*m%nated as a *esu\$t o t)e a8o\$%t%on o a #ost o* t)e *edu&t%on o sta (and su8Ie&t to t)e a.%sa8\$%t" o su%ta8Se #osts %n 7) %&) t)e%* se*. %&es &an 8e e e&t%.e\$" ut%\$%Ced(#*o.%ded t)at due *ega*d s)a\$\$ 8e g%.en %n a\$\$ &ases to *e\$at%.e &om#eten&e(%nteg%t" and %engt) o se*. %&(sta mem8e*s s)a\$\$ 8e *eta%ned %n t)e o\$\$o7%ng o*de* o #*e e*en&e6

-%l >ta mem8e*s)o\$d%ng &ont%nu%ng a##o%ntments:

3) en t) e suita8se #osts a.a8a8se a* e su8Ie&t to t) e #*n&#se o geog*a#) %&a8 d%st%8ut%on(due *ega*d s)a8 a8so 8e g%.en to nationa8t" %n t) e &ase o sta mem8e*s 7)t) \$ess t)an %e "ea*s o se*. %&e and %n t) e &ase o sta mem8e*s 7)o)a.e &anged t)e% nationa8t" 7)t) %n t) e #*e&ed%ng %e "ea*s.

- 1 T) e #*o.%s%ons o #a*ag*a#) -el a8o.e %nso a* as t) e" *e8ate to sta mem8e*s %n t) e Gene*a8 >e*. %&e and *e8ated &atego%es s)a8 8e deemed to)a.e 8een sat%8ed % su&) sta mem8e*s)a.e *e&e%.ed &ons%de*ation o* suita8se #osts a.a8a8se 7)t) %n t) e% #a*ent o*gan%Cat%on at t) e% dut" stat%ons.

74. T) e Com#a*at%.e 2e.%e7 Po8%&" /#*o.%des #*n&#ses and #*o&edu*es o* t) e &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7 to 8e o88o7ed %n &ases o ant%&#ated te*m%nat%on o* a8o8t%on o #osts A #u*suant to >ta 2u8es 9.6-el and - 1 %n t) e Gene*a8 >e*. %&e and Nationa8 , %&e* &atego%es0(and states t) at /?s@ta mem8e*s 7)ose #osts a* e d%8&ont%nued 7%88 not automat%&a88" 8e se#a*ated0.

75. ;%na88"(%n %ts se&t%on /Com#a*at%.e 2e.%e7 P*%n&#ses0(t) e Com#a*at%.e 2e.%e7 Po8%&" #*o.%des t) e o88o7%ng6

- 4. P*%o* to unde*taE%ng a &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7(t) e &on&e%ned o %&e s)ou8d .e% " t) at t) e%e a* e no sta mem8e*s on tem#o*a*" a##o%ntments o* a %8ate 7o*E o*%e underta ing similar functions to t) ose o t) e d%8&ont%nued #os%t%on-sl and 7)ose &ont*a&t d%8&ont%nuat%on 7ou8d m%t%gate t) e need o* a &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7 -em#)as%8 added1.
- 5. A &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7 #*o&ess %s t) e means 8" 7)%&) sta mem8e*s en&um8e%ng #os%t%ons 7)%&) a* e to 8e a8o8s)ed(and 7)o)o8d %nde %n%te o* %<ed=te*m a##o%ntments not e<#%*%ng on o* 8e o* e t) e e&t%.e date o t) e a8o8t%on o t) e *e8e.ant #os%t%on(7%88 8e mat&)ed aga%n8 suita8se #osts a&&o*d%ng to a set o %*te%*a *e8at%ng to t) e sta mem8e*sH suita88%t" o* su&) #osts. T) e /suita8se #osts0 a* e %nte*#*eted(o* t) e #u*#ose o t) e &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7(as #osts at t) e sta mem8e*8s dut" stat%on and at t) e sta mem8e*8s g*ade se.e8 and 7)t) %n t) e same un&t%ona8 g*ou# as #e* t) e (o)-0.96022#9.e.

76. ;*om t)e a8o.e(%t %s &Sea* t)at %n t)e &ont<t o an e<e*&%se to a8o%\$s) a #ost(t)e %ntent and #u*#ose o #a*ag*a#) 4 %s t)at t)e Adm%nst%at%on \$ooEs o* aSte*nat%.e em#So"ment o* %ts sta a e&tet %n a s%tuat%on o a8o%\$t%on o #osts.

77. T)e A##\$%&ant a\$\$eges t)at s%n&e No.em8e* 2013(a sta mem8e* se*. %ng on a tem#o*a*" a##o%ntment at t)e G=6 \$e.e\$ -/G=6 TA01 g*adua\$\$" tooE o.e* t)e un&t%ons o t)e #os%t%on s)e en&um8e*ed and t)at(t)e%e o*e(%t s)ou\$d)a.e 8een dete*m%ned t)at t)%s #e*son%\$s &ont*a&t d%\$&ont%nuat%on 7ou\$d)a.e m%t%gated t)e need o* a &om#a*at%.e *e.%e7(%n a##\$%&at%on o #a*ag*a#) 4 o t)e Com#a*at%.e 2e.%e7 Po\$%&".

7F. T)e 2es#ondent noted t)at t)e G=6 TA 7as &)a*ged aga%nst a G=6 #os%t%on(7)%&) 7as t)*ee g*ades \$o7e* t)an t)at o t)e A##\$%&ant and #e* o*m%ng t)e

F0. The Tribunal disagrees with the respondent and is of the view that indeed (Article 4 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women) has been done (the respondent) and there is no need for a remedy (as its attention on the respondent) and mitigated the need for it as essential. It is noted in Article 4 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women.

F1.

FF. Given the above findings, it is not necessary to proceed to undertake an examination of the application of article 5 of the Complaint. The Panel (as a group) 4 concludes as a condition of the undertaking of the Complaint. The Panel (as a group) 5.

F9. Article 10.5-al of the UNDT Statute provides that the Tribunal shall set an amount of compensation to the respondent in a case as an alternative to the resumption of the contested administrative decision (as the decision to) as seen found to have occurred. The Tribunal's terms of appointment and its termination. In assessing the amount of compensation (the Tribunal) as stressed that its determination must be done on a case-by-case basis (and that it takes a certain degree of emphasis - see Mwansa v. UNDP (2014) 155 J.T.J.P. - 155 . ui

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/027

Judgment No. UNDT/2016/035