

Case No. UNDT/GVA/2014/058

Judgment No. UNDT/2014/126

decision (enacted) that (its) request would not be entertained. = 0+e08e+' (e stressed
that (of) UN/C0- actions 7e+e &onside+ed to 4e one o+ mo+e %m#S%ed adm%st+at%8e
decisions' t(e A##S%ant (ad fa%sed to +es#e&t t(e

15. The respondent's actions are

a. The respondent failed to identify a contestable administrative decision in its request for management evaluation UN/C0-7 as opposed to its dismissal request and its membership and not be affected on appeal

4. The respondent understands that in the applicant's view the respondent admits that UN/C0-7 too the respondent's administrative decisions as follows

%. The national on the part of UN/C0-3 is not time-consuming provisions of Category of the UN Staff Regulations/Audits and General Conference

%. UN/C0-3 not (a) taking taken an " decision on finding the safety" and note that at the time of the national on the & membership and investigation against the applicant and

%%. The decision of the applicant UN/C0-7 to a (a) and +s" environment.

&. The respondent's failure to the question 7 (the the administrative decisions referred to in the applicant in its application constitute the administrative decisions' the request for management evaluation 7 as manifestly time-based' since the applicant 7 as a result of the alleged national to the issue of the proceedings before national courts in 2012

d. The respondent's failure to the proceedings before national courts ()-357.546(s)-5.2122-0.96

5T/A//266 read 7(t(5T/5G!/168 to #+o8%de safet" and #+ote&t%ion to fun&t%iona\$ %mmun%t" of staff mem4e+s' and as g%8en unde+ t(e 1646 Gene8a Con8ent%on1.

1 . As stated a4o8e' t(e A##%&ant fu+t(e+ +efe+s to t(e)%na&t%ion on t(e #a+t of *UN/C0-3 %n not t%me\$" %n8o@%ing #+o8%is%ions of C(a+te+ of t(e UN' 5taff Aegu\$at%ions/Au\$es and Gene8a Con8ent%on1 and UN/C0-)not (a8%ing ta@en an" de&%s%ion on #+o8%d%ing t(e safet" and #+ote&t%ion at t(e 7o+@#%a&e and t%me\$"

considered that the arbitration must be selected as the one on
the ground above.

21. On 8 February 2014 the administrative decision was identified by the
applicant in submitting his request for management evaluation on 24 March 2014
relating to issues 7 and 8 of the 'latest' name to an end in November 2014. The
applicant failed to advise the Board of the time limit to request management
evaluation under staff rule 11.2.2. The failure to file a request for
management evaluation ends the arbitration early.

2014 UNAT 4022.

22. In 8 February of the foregoing the Tribunal (D0C/D05D)

The arbitration is selected.

2

Judge Thomas

Dated this 20th day of September 2014