Case No.: UNDT/NY/2011/019

Judgment No.: UNDT/2013/098

Date: 10 July 2013

Introduction

1. The Applicant contests the decision by the Office of Human Resources Management ("OHRM"), Department of Magnament in New York, that he was not eligible to be considered for a post at the G-7 grade.

Facts

- 2. On 15 September 2010, the ApplicantDesktop Editorial and Publishing Assistant at the G-4 level, grade 6, subrdite application in response to vacancy announcement VA10-ADM-UNJSPF-ECEO-15697r-New York(O) for the post of Investment Assistant (the "Post") at the G-7 level, located in the United Nations Joint Staff Pension Fund ("UNJSPF" or the "Fund").
- 3. On 23 November 2010, the Applicacton tacted OHRM to express why he considered that he was the most suitable candidate for the Post. Three days later OHRM informed the Applicant that he did not match the requirements for the Post.
- 4. On 6 December 2010, the Applicant requested management evaluation of the decision not to give histoplication due consideration concluding that he was ineligible for the Post.
- 5. On 31 January 2011, the Managem Entaluation Unit ("MEU") informed the Applicant that they had "found no bastic conclude that the Administration abused its discretion in its determination that was] ineligible for consideration for the Post".
- 6. On 10 March 2011, the Applicant filedethpresent application stating that OHRM had "failed to give the fullest regard to [his] application" for the Post. The supporting documents to the applicant were contained within 64 annexes totaling close to 1,000 pages.

Case No. UNDT/NY/2011/019

- b. The eligibility restrictions in rile 6.1 of ST/AI/2010/3 (Staff selection system) invoked by the Admistration do not apply to Pension Fund as it is not bound by administrative instrumtis issued by the Secretariat. Seeing that the Secretary-General of the Udite ations does not have any authority over staff rules at the UNJSPF, thension Fund is not precluded from employing a candidate that was rejected by OHRM;
- c. Should these policies apply to the Pension Fund, they still violate the UN Charter, Staff Rules and Regulations, General Assembly resolutions and requirements of the Intermatal Civil Service Commission as the "United Nations shall place no restrons on the eligibility of men and women to participate in any capacity and under conditions of equality in its principal and subsidiary organs";
- d. The Applicant requests that he bepainted to the Post. Alternatively, he requests that he be awarded tymears of salary in compensation for the harm suffered.

Respondent's submissions

- 19. The Respondent's principal contentions may be summarized as follows:
 - a. The Pension Fund recruits and essets its staff in accordance with the United Nations Staff Regulations and Rules. Furthermore, the authority to take actions related to selection, aippropent, promotion and determinations of terms and conditions of terms are the conditions of terms and conditions of terms are the conditions of terms and conditions of terms are the conditions of terms are the conditions of terms are the conditions of terms and conditions of terms are the conditions are the conditi
 - b. Paragraph 6.1 of ST/A2010/3 specifically states that staff members on fixed-term appointments are not eligible to apply to positions which are more than one level above their cumtregrade. Further, a review of

the Applicant's Personal History Pile indicated that "as a G-4 staff member [he] did not meet the level prime ment and that he did not possess a minimum of 10 years work experience in financial markets";

c. The Applicant's right to full and fair consideration was respected. The application should be fully dissisted and no compensation should be awarded.

Consideration

Receivability

20. The application meets all of the recarbility criteria from art. 8 of the Dispute Tribunal's Statute.

Applicable law

21. ST/SGB/2009/4 (Procedures for eth promulgation of administrative issuances) states:

Section 2

Entry into force and effect of administrative issuances

- 2.1 Administrative issuances shelfter into force upon the date specified therein and shall remain in force until superseded or amended by another administrative uance of the same or higher level and promulgated in accordance with the provisions of the present bulletin.
- 2.2 Staff members at all levelsæthbe responsible for observing the provisions of administrative isætuces promulgated in accordance with the present bulletin.
- 2.3 Administrative issuances shallot apply to the separately administered funds, organs and programmes of the United Nations, unless otherwise stated therein, or unless the separately administered funds, organs and programmes veha expressly accepted their applicability.

Section 3

Secretary-General's bulletins

3.1 The following matters shall require the issuance of

23. ST/SGB/2010/6 (Staff Rules), dated 2 September 2010, states:

Staff Regulations of the United Nations Scope and Purpose

11 Staff of the Fund recruited promoted to the P-4, P-5 and D-1 levels (other than the post Deputy CEO – see paragraph 7) shall be selected through normal appointment and promotion procedures applicable to the UN Secretariat

. . .

The formal contractual arrangements will be the same as those offered by the UN, i.e. short term, fixed term and eventually permanent appointments. No paointments of limited duration] contracts are anticipated. The UNtracasures for the achievement of gender equality, as set out in /\$171999/9 would be followed to the extent possible.

General Service Staff

The General Service staff of the Fund secretariat shall be appointed and promoted throughne normal UN A&P procedures, according to the policies applicable the duty stations in which the UNJSPF staff serve, presenting we York and Geneva. The same conditions as those outlined almovshall apply with regard to applicants for posts from member

Case No. UNDT/NY/2011/019

29. Article 15 of the MOU between theurid and the United Nations highlights the fact that the personslessed by the Fund would be provinted under a contract limited to service in the Fund and that the third also limit the right for these staff

a permanent, continuing, temporary or **dixte**rm appointment **sth** be eligible to apply to posts that are more than one level higher than their current grade. Per

Education: high school diplomator equivalent. Supplementary courses/training in finance or equipment, preferably in economics, business administration, or relateubjects are highly desirable.

Work experience: Ten years experice in the financial markets. Exposure to capital markets and teinnational work experience is desirable. Prior experience in investment management also desirable. Prior experience in investment also desirable.

- 39. On the date of his application for the Post, the Applicant was serving at a G-4 grade. He therefore applied for a post thrais three grades the than his grade. Unlike in *Hastings* UNDT/2010/071, at the time of his application for the Post, the Applicant did not formally requesthat, in accordance with staff rule 12.3, an exception be made to sec. 6.1 of S/D/0/10/3, which statesthat "[s]taff members holding a permanent, continuing, probation ary fixed-term appointment shall not be eligible to apply for possions more than one leveligher than their personal grade".
- 40. As stated by the Tribunal in Mulla UNDT/2013/046, "since a P-5 position is obviously more than one level higher than 3, it follows ... that a staff member at the grade of P-3, holding any of the list propers of contract, shallot be eligible to apply for a P-5 post".
- 41. The Tribunal finds that OHRM correctlypplied the legal provisions by considering that the Applicant was not eligible the Post due to the fact that he held a post at a G-4 grade whereas the Rowhich he had applied was at the G-7 grade, three grades above his own.
- 42. Since, in the present case, one of the cumulative requirements that the Applicant was required to fulfill was not met, namely that a staff member can only apply for a post that is no more thoute grade higher than his or her current post, or the fact that his post was nother Field Service category thereby being an exception to this criteria, the specific elitibly requirements for the Post will not be analyzed further by the Tribunal.

43. The Applicant has failed to provedtillegality of the contested decision.

Conclusion

- 44. In the view of the foregoing, the Tribunal DECIDES:
- 45. The application is rejected.

(Signed)

Judge Alessandra Greceanu

Dated this 10 day of July 2013

Entered in the Register on thisth 10 ay of July 2013

(Signed)

Hafida Lahiouel, Registrar, New York