

United Nations Dispute Tribunal

Case No.:

UNDT/GVA/2009/19 UNDT/2009/046

16 October 2009

Judgment No.: Date:

English Original:

French

Registry: Geneva Registrar: Víctor Rodríguez ILIC ٧.

OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT

Counsel for applicant: Self-represented

Counsel for respondent: Shelly Pitterman, DHRM/UNHCR

Application

- 1. In her appeal to the Joint Appeals Board, registered on 11 December 2008, the applicant requested it to recommend that:
 - The decision of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees not to promote her to the P-4 level during the 2007 session should be rescinded;
 - She should be promoted with retroactive effect;

Characterist has assessed commencation for horse origins from the

unlawful decision and for the suffering caused to her.

In its masslution 62/052 the Common Assembly decided that all cases.

pending before the Joint Appeals Board as at 1 July 2009 would be

•	^ ***	LOUIL DELLEGION	Ai & L		
_					
1					
				\[
				,t	
1					
. I.					
3					
				1	
Approximate -					
AUGUS					
727					
-					
·					
77,28					
.					
<u></u>					
îni					
111					
·, <u>-</u>					
	f .	'L	1 = .	·	
<u> </u>	- <u>y </u>				
) <u>1</u>				
	<u></u>				

17. The mistake with regard to her time in UNOHCI was immaterial for that work was prior to her entry into UNHCR on 13 October 2002. Her

24. In order for the Tribunal to rescind a decision to deny a promotion,											
, <u> </u>											
1											
<u>-</u> .											
•		11, 2 <u>3</u>	c ;	<u> </u>		n-san					
-											
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •									
		î, l									
_											
-											
4.4											
-											

resulting therefrom under the Methodological Approach did not cause it to alter its recommendation.

2) Handson the Administration does not dismute that the first sheet

concerning the applicant's employment history which was submitted to APPB at the first session contained a mistake in that her assignment to the Office of the Humanitarian Coordinator for Iraq from December 2000 until September 2002 was wrongly classified as experience outside the United Nations; this mistake was not taken into account at the recourse session. Furthermore, it is not disputed that the fact-sheet did not mention that she had performed duties at the higher level from January to July 2007.

- 32. The applicant claimed, during the oral hearing, that the fact-sheet concerning her employment history had been tampered with; these claims were not substantiated. However, it is up to the judge to take into consideration the unfortunate errors contained in the said fact-sheet and to assess whether they may have seriously undermined her chances of promotion in 2007.
- 33. The documents in the file show that the applicant, who has failed to