Case No.2023-1831

Al Waleed Abdelrahman Abdrabou

(Appellant)

٧.

Secretary -General of the United Nations

(Respondent)

Order No. 561 (20 24)

1. On 29 May 2023, the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (UNDT or Dispute Tribunal) issued Judgment No. UNDT/2023/037 (impugned Judgment) in the case of Abdrabou v. Secretary-General of the United Nations, in which it dismissed Mr. Al Waleed Abdelrahman Abdrabou's application contesting a disciplinary measure of separation from service, with compensation in lieu of notice and without termination indemnities, for having engaged in entitlement fraud by submitting forged documents for reimbursement for medical services that were not received.

2. On 25 July 2023, Mr. Abdrabou filed an appeal of the impugned Judgment with the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (UNAT or Appeals Tribunal), to which the Secretary-General filed an answer on 27 September 2023

3. On 27 April 2024, Mr. Abdrabou submitted a Motion for Additional Evidence (Motion). He requests leave to submit a "

determines that the facts are likely to be established with such additional documentary evidence, it may receive the additional evidence from a party. (...)

10. Therefore, the Appeals Tribunal may admit additional documentary evidence, in terms of Article 2(5) of the Statute and Article 10(1) of the Rules where an appellant shows: i) exceptional circumstances; and ii) that it will be in the interest of justice and the efficient and expeditious resolution of the proceedings to receive the additional evidence; and, iii) that the evidence was not known to either party and should not have been presented at the Dispute Tribunal level. ¹

11. I find that , in the present case the criteria for admitting additional evidence are not met.

12. Mr. Abdrabou has not shown that a finding that he had sought to retrieve his son from the custody of the mother's family in 2018 could assist in establishing the relevant facts in the case. He has not demonstrated that any relevant fact is likely to be established with that evidence and that it would be in the interest of justice and the efficient and expeditious resolution of the proceedings to receive the additional evidence

13. Moreover, I find that the evidence was known to Mr. Abdrabou at the time of the UNDT's proceedings and should have been presented at the Dispute Tribunal level Whether the evidence sought to be admitted by the UNAT is the snapshot of paper documents, as construed by Mr. Abdrabou, or the submission itself that was allegedly filed with the Yemeni court, the substance of the information sought to be presented is materially the same and the same standard is to be applied in respect of both its forms. As such, the evidenced id not become known to him when his brother allegedly sent him the snapshot in April 2024 but, instead, when he filed the submission with the court. There is nothing in the Motion to suggest thathe could not have obtained a copy of his submission from the courtor judicial archives or that any other reason existed why a copy justifiably could not have been presented at the Dispute Tribunal level.

14. For these reasons, the Motion is denied.

¹ Surendra Bista v. Secretary -General of the United Nations , UNAT Order No. 552 (2024), para. 10.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Mr. Abdrabou's 27 April 2024 Motion for Additional Evidence is DENIED.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Decision dated this 21st day of May 2024 in Buea, Cameroon.

(Signed) Judge Leslie F. Forbang, Presiding

Order published and entered in the Register on this 21st day of May 2024 in New York, United States.

(Signed) Juliet E. Johnson, Registrar