

Case No. 2023-1783

**AAQ**  
**(Appellant)**

**v.**

5. The second motion is opposed and so must be dealt with in more detail. AAQ seeks to introduce new evidence to support their claim to compensation for breach of their human rights and psychological harm. AAQ says that they have been affected significantly and psychologically by the Organization's decision not to recognize t6.5 d48.9 o (w)6.5 dlo (n.9(e)-1

report having been provided to date, that the UN Counsellor's statement may establish the existence of moral harm. I thus find that it is in the interests of justice<sup>1.5 e</sup> that the proposed

been at risk of their refusal for lateness, or at least postponement of the appeal to the next session of the Appeals Tribunal.

15. **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:** (i) that the Motion for anonymity is **GRANTED and that all references to the Appellant's identity in public documents relating to the Appellant's case be by use of a 3-letter acronym assigned by the Registrar, which is AAQ**; and (ii) that the Motion for the admission of evidence in support of Appellant's claim for moral damages is **GRANTED on the conditions set out in paragraphs 9-13 inclusive of this Order.**

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Decision dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of August 2023  
in Auckland, New Zealand.

*(Signed)*  
Judge Graeme Colgan,  
Presiding

Order published and entered in the Register on this  
16<sup>th</sup> day of August 2023 in New York, United States.

*(Signed)*  
Juliet Johnson,  
Registrar