

of \$0, pensation, 2hi\$h 2ould put Or. 'lawan in the \$ondition he 2ould be in had the pro\$edural irre#ularit% in the sele\$tion pro\$ess not o\$\$urred. Therefore, he see"s to introdu\$e 9supple, entar% e)iden\$e, in\$ludin# his pawslips, salar% s\$ale and)a\$an\$% announ\$e, ent, 2hi\$h indi\$ate :the; differen\$e bet2een salaries of 5rade 1. and 5rade 16, li"e2ise spe\$ial o\$\$upation allo2an\$es and pro)ident fund entitle, ents, helpin# to deter, ine an ade8uate a, ount of \$0, pensation<.

- =. On 71 Oa% 2018, the Co, , issioner-5eneral filed his \$0, , ents on the , otion. >e asserts that Or. 'la%an has not de, onstrated an% e?\$eptional \$ir\$u, stan\$es 2arrantin# lea)e to file additional e)iden\$e. The Co, , issioner-5eneral \$ontends that the e)iden\$e that Or. 'la%an 2ishes to addu\$e should ha)e been presented at the le)el of the UN! A DT and that so, e of the do\$u, ents he see"s to introdu\$e, su\$h as the)a\$an\$% announ\$e, ent, are indeed alread% part of the re\$ord. /n li#ht of the aforesaid, he re8uests that the , otion be reæ\$ted.
- ... &ursuant to Arti\$le 2(.* of the 4tatute of the Appeals Tribunal and Arti\$le 10(1* of its ules of &ro\$edure, the Appeals Tribunal, a% re\$ei)e additional e)iden\$e fro, a part% 9:i;n e?\$eptional \$ir\$u, stan\$es< 9if that is@o,th\$2(4e)set20f7887[\$ssand the effiO(\$)-2234(e)4.73951