

Ademagic et al.
(Respondents/Appellants on Cross-Appeal)
v.
Secretary-General of the United Nations
(Appellant/Respondent on Cross-Appeal)

4. The Tribunal is of the view that the Secretary-General's denial of the appellants' request for a permanent appointment was not a denial of a permanent appointment. The Tribunal, on the other hand, is of the view that the Secretary-General's denial of the appellants' request for a permanent appointment was a denial of a permanent appointment.

3. On 12 January, 2017, the appellants submitted a request for a permanent appointment and challenged their denials of a permanent appointment. (Included in their request were personal statements supporting their respective allegations that relevant data relied upon in the contested decisions was inaccurate or not taken into account.)

%.

Administration had not complied with the 2016 Judicial Branch deadline. The submitter states that "reinstating" the motion is not the appropriate recourse in the present context and that he is willing to forego any further evaluation should any of the applicants want to challenge their decisions once issued.

12.