



are intended to be established with such additional documentary evidence. The Wilson
statement, however, in another UNDT statement, is not evidence within the meaning of
these provisions. Thus, / r. 1a Ashni's motion is denied. Moreover, in a part requests,
the Appeals Tribunal has discretion to take judicial notice of relevant judicial opinions.

7. Under the Appeals Tribunal Statute, / r. 1a Ashni is not entitled to file a response
to the answer to his appeal. Moreover, Article 11 of the Rules and Section C.O.
of the Directorate No. 1 of the Appeals Tribunal to permit a party to file additional
evidence after the filing of the answer if there are exceptional circumstances or doing so.
Moreover, / r. 1a Ashni has not demonstrated the existence of exceptional circumstances.
He merely seeks to express his disagreement with the statements made by the
Secretary-General in his answer. Thus, / r. 1a Ashni's motion for leave to file a response
to the answer is also denied.

ORDER that / r. 1a Ashni's motions ARE DENIED.

ORDER that the Registrar shall not include the motions and annexes
thereto, as well as the respondent's comments thereon, in the case file.

Original and Authoritative Version English

(Signed)

Dated this 22nd