



CaseNos. 2015-84

1. On 2 Js Agency

Work

respe

of U

of U

of

Comr

2.

Baidc

the U

3.

moti c

Jaber

appli

pend

the c

case

respc

4. Respondent further asserts that the Appellants raise a common set of facts, identical grounds on appeal, and request the same remedies. Thus, Respondent contends, consolidation of the appeals “will serve judicial economy and consistency without changing or affecting the rights of the parties.” Additionally, consolidation will save Respondent considerable costs.

5. On 2 September 2015, Jaber et al. filed comments to Respondent’s motion, stating that, although “there are immaterial differences in the facts,” they “consider that these differences would be taken into consideration and [the Appellants’] rights would not be affected” if the Appeals Tribunal were to consolidate the appeal and allow Respondent to file one reply.

6. Article 18bis(1) of the Rules of Procedure of the Appeals Tribunal (Rules) provides that “[t]he President may, at any time, either on a motion of a party or on his or her own volition, issue any order which appears to be appropriate for the fair and expeditious management of the case and to do justice to the parties”.

7. Having reviewed all documents, and finding consolidation would “be appropriate for the fair and expeditious management of the case and to do justice to the parties,” Respondent’s motion for consolidation is granted, pursuant to Article 18 bis of the Rules.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Respondent’s motion for consolidation of the four appeals and request to file one answer is GRANTED.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Dated this 10th day of September 2015
in Los Angeles, United States.