4. By e-mail dated 9 January 2014, the Registy of the Appeals Tribunal declined to receive or file Ms. Wesslund's purported appeal on the ground that it did not comply with the requirements of the Appeals Tribunal Statute (Statute).<sup>1</sup> Ms. Wesslund challenges the Registry's decision.

5. Having carefully considered Ms. Wesslund's submission, as well as Judgment No. 2013-UNAT-390 and the records underlying that Judgment, this Tribunal finds that there is no basis to receive or file Ms. Wesslund's submission. First, on the face of the purported appeal, Ms. Wesslund clearly states that she isseeking review of "Management Evaluation Decisions of April 8, 2013 and April 29, 2013"; she is not seeking review of a decision by the Dispute Tribunal, as required by Article 2 of the Statute. (See alsoArticle 8(5) of the Appeals Tribunal Rules of Procedure).

6. Second, the purported appeal is nothing more than a veiled attempt by Ms. Wesslund to obtain reconsideration of Judgment No. 2013-UNAT-390, and the Statute does not provide for the Appeals Tribunal to reconsider its Judgments.<sup>2</sup> To the contrary, the doctrine of res judicata would bar the Appeals Tribunal from considering a second appeal challenging Order No. 100 (NY/2013).

7. For the foregoing reasons, it is determined that Ms. Wesslund's submission is not receivable and the Registry IS HEREBYORDERED not to receive and file it.

Original and Authoritative Version: English

Dated this 20