
UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL D 'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES

Judgment No. 2022-UNAT-1273



Mathieu Mukeba W a Mukeba
(Applicant)

v.

Secretary -General of the United Nations
(Respondent)

JUDGMENT ON APPLICATION FOR REVISION

Before: Judge Sabine Knierim, Presiding
Judge Graeme Colgan
Judge Kanwaldeep Sandhu

Case No: 2022-1648

Date of Decision: 28 October 2022

Date of Publication: 18 November 2022

Counsel for Applicant: Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent: Patricia C. Aragonés

THE U

7. On 3 March 2020, by way of Order No. 39 (NY/2020), and upon consultation with the parties, the UNDT scheduled the hearing for 26 March 2020. Ho>BDC BT/TT0 1 Tf 12 .

12. On 2 July 2020, Mr. Mukeba responded that he understood the Registry' -0.001 Tc 00

21. Thus, in order to succeed in his quest for revision, Mr. Mukeba must prove that he has discovered a decisive fact that, ~~was not known to the Tribunal at the time of the appeal and that, if established, would have led to a different outcome in the appeal.~~

28. Mr. Mukeba's final statement (that the disciplinary sanction was unlawful) is a legal opinion but not a decisive fact for the 19 March 2021 UNAT Judgment which deals with the issue of want of prosecution.

Judgment

29. The application for revision of Judgment No. 2021 -UNAT -1080 is dismissed. O(t f)-3.ahi. At fut noT