

Counsel for Applicant: Self-represented

Counsel for espondent: ac! el "#ers

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

- 9. . n 2= Septem/er 201B& (r. 4a55out re5uested re#ie> of ! is Jul: and August mont! I: e<tensions. . n 22 No#em/er 201B& t! e *irector of UN OA . perations& %aAa 6*U. 8%7 accepted (r. 4a55out;s re5uest and reinstated ! im to ! is 1*C post& retroacti#el: from 1 . cto/er 201B for t! ree mont! s t! roug! =1 *ecem/er 201B. . n 2- No#em/er 201B&!e accepted t! e offer of t! is t! ree-mont! e<tension of ! is 1*C.
- ,. \$et>een 2= No#em/er 201B and 2= ?e/ruar: 201-& (r. 4a55out filed t!ree applications >it! t!e UN OA *ispute Tri/unal against: i7 t!e Jul: 201B mont! l: e<tensionC ii7 t!e August 201B mont! l: e<tensionC and iii7 t!e . cto/er-*ecem/er e<tension. . n

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

for t!e first time on appeal and could t!erefore not /e allo>ed unless (r. 4a55out s!o>ed e<ceptional circumstances for t!eir admission >!ic! !e failed to do. T!e UNAT t!erefore dismissed t!e appeal and affirmed t!e UN OA *T Judgment.

- 10. (r. 4a55out recei#ed t! e Ara/ic translation of t! e UNAT Judgment on 2- Januar: 2021.
- 11. . n =1 Januar: 2021& (r. 4a55out filed an application see+ing re#ision of t!e Appeals Tri/unal Judgment. T!e Commissioner-%eneral filed! is comments on = (arc! 2021.
- 12. . n 5 . cto/er 2021& (r. 4a55out filed anot! er application&t! is one for correction of t! e

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

25 Jul: 2018& and t! at it >as >or+ing to re-emplo: 2B staff mem/ers >! ose ser#ice! ad /een terminated t! roug! Dpseudo-#oluntar: E retirement& t! e total /eing 119 staff mem/ers in accordance >it! t! e emergenc: agreement.

- 15. T! e t! ird DfactE relates to t! e follo>ing announcement made /: t! e %aAa 1ocal Staff Union president on ! is personal ?ace/oo+ page on 2 *ecem/er 2020: DFSGo t! e Union >as s! a+en do>n to t! e tune of H220&000. Staff salaries >ere paid out of our union accounts for fear of ar/itrar: separationE. (r. 4a55out /ecame a>are of t! is fact on *ecem/er 2020 >! en searc! ing on t! e ?ace/oo+ page of t! e %aAa 1ocal Staff Union president.
- 19. (r. 4a55out contends t! at t! e document DAnne< 3: SeparationE re#eals t! at 11- staff mem/ers >ere separated&and a total of -2, staff mem/ers >ere affected /: t! e emergenc: appeals /udget. T! ere >as t! erefore an error of fact&procedure and la>&/ecause t! at information conflicts >it! t! e Commissioner-%eneral;s decision as set out in t! e UN OA *T and UNAT @udgments& >! ic! state t! at a total of 11= staff mem/ers >ould /e separated and a total of -21 staff mem/ers >ould /e affected /: t! e emergenc: appeals /udget. T! at in turn s! o>s t! at t! e *U. 8% o#erstepped t! e decision of t! e Commissioner-%eneral /: increasing t! e num/er of separated staff mem/ers /: si<& from 11= to 11-& and ! ence increasing t! e num/er of affected staff mem/ers from -21 to -2,.
- 1,. T!e a/o#e demonstrates t! at t!e *U. 8% > rongfull: too+ ad#antage of t!e Commissioner-%eneral;s decision of 5 Jul: 201B /: deciding to end ! is ser#ice and decline to e<tend ! is contract using t!e prete<t of t!e financial crisis. T! at is true for t!e follo>ing reasons. ?irst& (r. 4a55out recei#ed no end-of-ser#ice compensation&financial entitlements or pro#ident fund amounts or an ex-gratia pa: ment in return for signing t!e separation agreement according to t!e emergenc: agreement. Second&t!e Commissioner-%eneral and t!e %aAa 1ocal Staff Union said t! at it! ad reinstated all t!e staff mem/ers /elonging to t!e group of 9B&/ut (r. 4a55out >as not reinstated. T!ird&all t!e staff mem/ers >!o >ere separated o>ing to t!e financial crisis at UN OA recei#ed letters stating t!at t!eir post >as a/olis!ed and ser#ing notice of pro#isional redundanc:&as per Judgment No. UN OA8*T8201-8022C!o>e#er&t!e letter to (r. 4a55out stated t!at!is contract >ould not /e rene>ed.
- 1B. ?rom t! e first and second facts&t! e Applicant concludes t! at t! e *U. 8% >rongfull: too+ad#antage of t! e UN OA financial crisis and of t! e discretionar: aut! orit: granted to ! im /: t! e Commissioner-%eneral. T! e Appeals Tri/unal and (r. 4a55out >ere misled into t! in+ing t! at ! e

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

>as on t! e list of 11= separated staff mem/ers referred to in paragrap!, of t! e UNAT Judgment& e#en t! oug! &in #ie> of t! e reasons gi#en a/o#e&t! e Commissioner-%eneral! ad not agreed to end! is ser#ice and8or dismiss! im o>ing to t! e financial crisis. T! e Administration did not act in good fait! to>ards (r. 4a55out& and t! e %aAa regional office too+ ad#antage of t! e Commissioner-%eneral;s decision of 5 Jul: 201B to impose a disguised disciplinar: measure connected >it!! is disciplinar: case >! ic! consisted of ending! is ser#ice >it! out >aiting for t! e definiti#e results of t! e in5uir: into t! e case& >! ic! is t! e real reason for >! ic! t! e Applicant;s ser#ice >as terminated.

1-. (oreo#er&t! e statements made /: t! e *U. 8% conflict >it! paragrap! - of t! e UN OA

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

' # () # ' #

- 22. T!e facts reiterated /: (r. 4a55out concern issues relating to t!e categories of staff t! at >ere affected follo>ing a series of emergenc: measures t! at t!e Agenc: too+ I and cannot /e considered decisi#e in reac! ing t!e original decision.
- 2=. Assuming arguendo t! at (r. 4a55out is presenting ne> facts& >it! regard to t!e alleged first fact& (r. 4a55out admits t! at !e +ne> a/out t!e letter on 1B No#em/er 2020. 3t is also apparent t! at (r. 4a55out +ne> of t!e second and t! ird facts. (r. 4a55out does not e#en state >!en!e disco#ered t!e decisi#e facts and as suc! supports t!e contention t! at !e >as a>are of t!e facts.) e ma+es no indication >!et!er t!e facts& at t!e time t!e Judgment >as rendered&>ere un+no>n to t!e Appeals Tri/unal and t!e part: appl:ing for re#ision.
- 22. T! e arguments ad#anced /: (r. 4a55out do not fall >it! in Article 11617 of t! e UNAT Statute or Article 22 of t! e UNAT ules of 'rocedure 6t! e ules7. None of t! e contentions for re#ision constitutes a Ddecisi#e fact >! ic! >as& at t! e time t! e @udgment >as rendered&

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

2- Januar: 2021: Ara/ic translation of Judgment sent to (r. 4a55out

=1 Januar: 2021: Application for re#ision of Judgment filed /:

(r. 4a55out

=0. O! at is to DrenderE a @udgment of t!e UNAT and >! en is a @udgment renderedJ
T!e UNAT Statute and ules are not particularl: !elpful in t! is e<ercise. Nor too is t!e
Appeals Tri/unal;s recent practice during t!e current C. K3*-1- pandemic of releasing
@udgments ot!er t! an /: our pre#ious practice of announcing t!e outcomes in pu/lic session
in Ne> Lor+ at t!e end of eac! session.

- *ifferent >ords are used to descri/e t! e process of issuing and /ringing @udgments to t! e notice of t! e parties. Article 2 of t! e Statute refers to t! e Ddeli#er: E of @udgments. Article 9 of t! e Statute and Article 20 of t! e ules /ot! refer to t! e Dpu/lication of @udgments /ut at least in t! e ules *\delta \text{! ic! must follo} and cannot contradict t! e Statute *\delta t! is appears to refer to t! e pu/lication on t! e UNAT;s >e/site of all @udgments and to t! e >orld in general rat! er t! an t! e ad#ice of t! e outcomes of appeals to parties. Article 10 of t! e Statute refers /ot! to @udgments /eing Dissued in >riting E and to t! e pu/lication of t! em. And *\delta as alread: noted *\delta Article 11 of t! e Statute is t! e on!: one referring to @udgments /eing Drendered E. T! ere is neit! er consistenc: nor definition of >! at is t! e rendering of @udgments *\delta and ! o > t! is differs from an: or all of t! eir Ddeli#er: E *\Dpu/lication E *\delta or /eing Dissued E.
- =2. Alt! oug! for purposes of calculating t! e time in >! ic! an appeal to t! e UNAT must /e determined pursuant to Article ,6176c7 of t! e UNAT Statute&t! e receipt of a translated #ersion of a @udgment /: a part: ! as /een ! eld to /e t! e date of t! e @udgment.=
- ==. 3n Nouinou,² t!e Appeals Tri/unal confirmed t! at applications for re#ision of a @udgment can onl: /e made after a >ritten @udgment is issued. 3t follo>s t! at t!e time for doing so /egins to run from t! at point. Alt! oug! t! is does not settle t!e issue of >! en a @udgment is DrenderedE& it does assist in supporting our decision t! at it >as appropriate for (r. 4a55out to a>ait! is receipt of t! is Tri/unal;s 2020 Judgment in! is case /efore deciding >! et! er!e needed to appl: for a re#ision of it in reliance on material t! at! ad come to! is notice since t!e start of t!e Appeals Tri/unal;s Session at >! ic! t!e appeal >as considered.

⁼ See Said v. Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agenc for ! alestine Refugees in the Near "ast&. rder No. -2 620127.

² Nouinou v. Secretar -General of the United Nations&. rder No. =22 6201-7.

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

=B.	Oe reiterate eac! of (r. 4a55out;s grounds for re#ision and t!e espondent;s ans>ers&
/efore	deciding t! ose grounds. Addressing first t! e re5uirement for t! e e <istence a="" decisi#e<="" of="" td=""></istence>
fact& (r. 4a55out in#o+es >! at !e descri/es as a document of 1, . cto/er 201B listing ,1 staff

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS T

Judgment No. 2021-UNAT-1152

/: determining t! at& e#en if (r. 4a55out ! ad c! allenged ! is non-e<tension or non-rene>al& t! e UN OA *T! ad not erred in its conclusions.

29. Considered against t! at /ac+ground conte<t& >e are satisfied t! at neit! er of t! e first and t! ird ne> facts ad#anced /: (r 4a55out 6fact num/er t>o ! a#ing& /: (r 4a55out;s

. , #

. riginal and Aut! oritati#e Kersion: "nglis!

*ated t! is 2-t! da: of . cto/er 2021.

#Signed\$ #Signed\$ #Signed\$

Judge Colgan&' residingJudge) alfeldJudge ai+osAuc+land&Ne> 4ealandJuiA de ?ora&\$raAilAt! ens&%reece

"ntered in t!e egister on t! is =rd da: of *ecem/er 2021 in Ne> Lor+&United States.

#Signed\$

Oeic! eng 1 in& egistrar