

Judgment No. 2020-UNAT-999



Counsel for Mr. Wilson:

Sètondji Roland Adjovi/George G. Irving

1. Mr. Anthony Kreil Wilson has filed an application for correction of Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-940 with the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (Appeals Tribunal). For reasons set forth below, we grant his application.

2. On 21 December 2018, the United Nations Dispute Tribunal (UNDT or Dispute Tribunal) in New York issued Judgment No. UNDT/2018/136 in the case of Wilson v Secret-General of the United Nations. In paragraphs 10 and 11 of the “Factual and procedural history” section, the UNDT noted that:

... By Order No. 241 (NY/2016) issued on 19 October 2016, the application for suspension of action was rejected on the ground that the contested decision was already implemented since the selected candidate had accepted the offer for the post.

... On 21 October 2016, the Applicant received the MEU’s response dated 18 October 2016, upholding the contested decision.

3. On 18 February 2019, the Secret-General of the United Nations issued Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-940 in the case of Wilson v Secret-General of the United Nations. In paragraphs 10 and 11 of the “Factual and procedural history” section, the UNDT noted that:

... By Order No. 241 (NY/2016) dated 19 October 2016, the UNDT rejected Mr. Wilson's application for suspension of action on the ground that the contested decision had already been implemented since the selected candidate, Ms. SS, had accepted the offer of the post. Two days later, Mr. Wilson received a response from the Management Evaluation Unit (MEU), upholding the contested decision.

6. In his application for correction of judgment, Mr. Wilson requests that the Appeals Tribunal take note of the correction that the Dispute Tribunal has made to paragraph 11 of Judgment No. UNDT/2018/136 and amend paragraph 8 of its own Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-940 accordingly.

7. The Secretary-General has advised that he has no comments on Mr. Wilson's application for correction of dates in the Appeals Tribunal Judgment.

8. The

Judgment makes clear that the application was filed within the statutory time limit which began to run on 21 November 2016, the date on which Mr. Wilson received the MEU response.

11. It is hereby ordered:

i) Paragraph 8 of Judgment No. 2019-UNAT-940 is to read as follows:

By Order No. 241 (NY/2016) dated 19 October 2016, the UNDT rejected Mr. Wilson's