

UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL TRIBUNAL D'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES

Case No. 2010-069

Pellet

(Appellant)

٧.

Secretary-General of the United Nations

(Respondent)

JUDGMENT

Before: Judge Rose Boyko, Presiding

Judge Mark P. Painter

Judge Inés Weinberg de Roca

Judgment No.: 2010-UNAT-073

Date: 29 October 2010

Registrar: Weicheng Lin

Counsel for Appellant: Amal Oummih

Counsel for Respondent: Phyllis Hwang

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2010-UNAT-073

	ı. On	eneva	in C	ny i	calego	ivice	aı S	Gener	me	III	Stan	trie	1 01	reduction	require re
n rIS ktme ft24(a	nplaced	າf 12 ປ	atus c	stat	ew the	to rev	met	Panel	Review	e F	barativ		t ispte £	ary 2008,	15 Januar
d0nu													e's		

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2010-UNAT-073

Procedural Regulations. The APPC waived the equirement for Famy to serve for one year in her post of Programme Assistant.

- 14. At the end of the APPC's session in October 2007, Famy had not been selected for any post and she was automatically included in the comparative review process. Posts were created within the Africa Bureau and included in the comparative review process in order to allow the placement of a maximum number of unplaced staff members and with a view to reducing involuntary separations. Famy was selected as Senior Programme Assistant in the Africa Bureau at the G-7 level in accordance with paragraph 7 of the Guidelines.
- 15. The Secretary-General contends that Pellet did not encumber a post of a te2 24Dm7(i)1(e)I]TJ -070

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Judgment No. 2010-UNAT-073

for vacancies. On the date of the contested appointments, Pellet could not have been appointed to any of the posts as he did not meet the one year minimum requirement and was therefore not an eligible candidate.

- 20. This Tribunal finds that it was open to the Dispute Tribunal to consider the preliminary issue of whether Pellet had legal standing to even challenge the administrative decision not to advertise the vacancies in question. We find no error in its decision that Pellet was not entitled to contest the administrative decision since he was not an eligible candidate for any of the vacant posts. Pellethad no stake in the administrative decision as his rights and terms of employment were not affected by the fact that the vacant posts were not advertised.
- 21. This appeal must fail on the ground that Pellet had no standing to challenge the administrative decision. This Tribunal finds that no error in fact or law was made and upholds the decision of the Dispute Tribunal.

Judgment No. 2010-UNAT-073

Judgment

		ouago.n						
22.	2. For the reasons given above the appeal is dismissed.							
Dated	d this 29 th day of October 20	010 in New York United	States					
	nal and authoritative version		olatos.					
g								
	Signed	Signed	Signed					
Ju	dge Boyko, Presiding	Judge Painter	Judge Weinberg de Roca					
Enter	ed in the Register on this 29	9 th day of December 201	0 in New York, United States.					
	Signed							
We	eicheng Lin, Registrar							