

Translated from French

UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

paragraph 3, of its Statute. The Tribunal considered that Mr. Hijaz had not shown enough diligence in seeking legal advice during the additional time that he had been granted by the order of 25 August 2009 and that it was difficult to understand how the six additional months that he was now requesting would help him, given the history of the case thus far. The Tribunal concluded that the application was totally unserious and constituted an abuse of the process (“I find the application totally unserious and lacking in diligent prosecution. The present application not only lacks merit but constitutes an abuse of the process of the Tribunal”).

8. Mr. Hijaz filed an appeal against the Dispute Tribunal’s decision on 13 December 2009. The Secretary-General submitted a memorandum in defence on

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

Case No. 2010-TANU-055

provisions. Therefore, the decision of the Dispute Tribunal on an appellant's request to suspend, waive or extend the deadline, cannot be appealed.

22. In light of the foregoing, Mr. Hijaz's appeal is not receivable.

Judgment

23. Mr. Hijaz's appeal is rejected.

Dated this 1st day of July 2010 in New York, United States

Original: French

(Signed) Judge Courtial (Signed) J508J /T4 TwBoy/T4 koadline, cannot2010-2dgmsc1lant T0(Jus)-6(rec