6 W D W H P H Q W E \ W K H 5 H S X E O L F R I 7 • U N L \ H D W W Report of the International Law Commission on the Work of its 73rd Session

³<u>Immunity of State officials from foreign criminal jurisdiction</u>

Mr. Chair,

In regards to the topicof ³, PPXQLW\ RI 6WDWH RIILFLDOV IU MXULV, **Gvb:** From Wild Rectó than land extend our appreciation to Special Rapporteur 0V & RQFHSFLyQ (VFREDU + HUQiQGH] DQG WKH & RPPL

We refer to and reiterate our previous statements on the ,t**ppairt** icularly our statement in which we proposed as regards draft article 12 (formerly draft article, 11) to include the phrase³ Z L W K W K H F R Q V H Q W R I W K H 6 W D W H R II L F

We also recall supporting twice word deleting the fifth paragraph of draft article 12 (formerly draft article 11,) which states that waiver of immunity is irrevocable the grounds that neither relevant treaties nor domestic laws of States have expressly referred to the irrevocable of waivers of immunity and that practice on this issue is limited. We took noteof the decision of the Commission to transmit the draft articles to Governments for comments and observations, waen thay submit further commentarion the draft articles rad commentaries therein writing as necessary.

Sealevel rise in relation to international law

Mr. Chair,

7 • U Nwashbne of the delegations three lcomed the inclusion of the topic of 3 sealevel rise in relation to international lawin the programme of work of the International Law Commission

7 • UNL\H EHOLHYHV the Qurown/dr/sident of Quinterrinat/side/all/dw/ord Relation and cooperation from all the countrien/sected by sedevel rise to the study of the Commission and encourage xamination of the legal aspects of bearer rise.

Thus, the establishment of an opended Study Group in 2019, the publishing of

7KDW LV ZK\ 7•UNL\H HQFRXUDJHV WKHStErdRyQVXOW *URXS¶V DQDO\VLV RI WK-llelve0DristeJDO GLPHQVLRQV RI VH

The Second, VVXHV 3DSHU DOVR DUJXHV WKDW ³ZKHQ sealevel rise with a particular focus on the issue of statehood, it is worth considering, inter alia, ...[T]he preservation of the rights of States affected by the phenomenon of sealevel rise in respect of the maritime areas under their jurisdiction and the living and non-OLYLQJ UHVRXUFHV WKHUHLQ ´

We consider this argument tobe far-fetched and hypothetical considering the IDFW WKDW ³WKHUH KDV QRW EHH @terrfboryVhasVb&@nWLRQ FRPSOHWHO\ FRYHUHG E\ WKH VHD RU WKDW KDV EH Secondssues Paper also concedes this fact.

We are of the view that, formaritime zoneswhere maritime boundary delimitation has not taken place, sea

Thank you, Mr. Chair.