## STATEMENT BY

# MRS UMA SEKHER ADDITIONAL SECRETARY AND LEGAL ADVISER

# Mr. Chairman,

We thank the Chairman of the International Law Commission for the presentation of his

of international law concerning immunity, victims' interests, and the totality of circumstances, should be taken into account in determining immunity.

### Mr. Chairman,

Looking at the sensitive nature of some of the provisions, especially draft article7, we take note of the comments submitted by various States on that draft article earlier. We also closely follow the debates and discussions in this forum as well as before the ILC. We find divergent observations of the Member States and other stakeholders on draft article 7 –which seeks to provide that the immunity rationemateriaewould not be applicable to the State officials in respect of crimes under international law, which are made with reference to certain international conventions, including the Rome Statute of the ICC in the Annex.

It may be recalled that the Commission had provisionally adopted draft article 7 and the related annex, by recorded vote during its sixty-ninth session in 2017; however, in its seventy-third session this year, the same has been adopted without taking a vote. We note that some ILC members had voted against the draft article 7 in 2017. Therefore, we consider it ideal that in the process of final adoption of this article, the views of all members of the Commission be taken into account in an attempt to achieve consensus.

In this context, we would like to reaffirm our views expressed earlier in our statement at the Sixth Committee's 76th Session of the United Nations General Assembly concerning the possible approach of the Commission towards finding a solution to reconcile the divergent views of its members and other stakeholders on draft Article 7 in its second reading on the topic

We are of the firm belief that any system, if not agreed, would likely harm inter-state relations and also undermine the very objective of ending impunity of most serious international crimes. At the same time we reiterate that the provisions under this area should not be viewed as codifying existing international law in any manner.

We prefer the examination of subject or issues of immunity on its own without linking them to or with reference to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, to which several countries are not party.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Mr. Chairman,

Turning to Chapter IX pertaining to Sea-Level rise, we would like to thank the Commission, and in particular the Study group on Sea-Level Rise, for its work over the past three years.

We conform to the view regarding the topic's relevance for States that are directly affected by sea-level rise including for those States whose survival might be threatened. We are aware of the impact of sea-level rise and the immense challenge of understanding the associated complex legal and technical issues without losing sight of their human dimension.

Though the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) establishes an effective legal regime for Ocean governance, it does not explicitly deal with the impacts of climate change-related sea-level rise on maritime zones and the rights and entitlements that flow from them. The challenges posed by this phenomenon for the legal order created under UNCLOS, could not be foreseen by the drafters of UNCLOS.

As on date, the Small Island Developing States (SIDS) are facing disproportionate challenges to their social and economic development given their small size, remote location, vulnerability to sea-level rise, high costs for energy and transportation. It is an existential crisis for them.

The territories of SIDS, and the maritime zones allocated under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) are central to their statehood, economies, food security, health and education prospects, and even their unique cultures and livelihoods. Therefore, the work of Commission is particularly of importance to such countries.

We are of the view that any possible recommendations in this regard should be considered by the Commission only after in-depth study on the relevant principles, sources and rules of International law as well as on State practice and opinio-juris.

We looks forward to further discussions and considerations with due respect for the integrity of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea. Reducing the vulnerability of SIDS and strengthening their resilience to climate change should be a collective responsibility of the international community.

### Mr. Chairman,

Before concluding, let me reaffirm that the atmosphere we live in is a common resource which all states have a duty to protect for present and future generation, which is even more significant for the developing, less developed and especially the island states that face the risk due to continuing sea-level rise.

#### I Thank you Mr. Chairman.