

83

!" #\$#!

We would like to thank International Law Commission and Special Rapporteur, Mr. Sean D Murphy for his contribution on the topic of Crimes a!ainst "umanity# and his efforts towards the preparation of the draft articles on pre\$ention and punishment of crimes a!ainst humanity.

Mr. C

- %. Re!ardin! the draft &rticles on Crimes &!ainst "umanity, my dele!ation is of the \$iew that e'istin! international instruments already accommodate crimes a!ainst humanity as punishable offences. Member States that are parties to the Rome Statute are fully aware of this fact that draft articles are based on the correspondin! pro\$isions of the Rome(Statute of the International(Criminal Court. .) ur understandin! is that e\$en those member states that ha\$e not yet subscribed to the Rome Statute, their e'tant national le!islations already capture these offences.
- *. +herefore, we would like to reiterate our position that, since international mechanism dealin! with the said issues are already in place, there is no re, uirement of a Con\$ention on the sub-ect matter. In case need for such a Con\$ention is felt by the wider . / member states, then the draft articles need to be e'amined in depth, takin! into full consideration concerns of all Member States. My dele! ation opposes any work on this topic that results in duplicatin! e'istin! international le! al mechanisms.
- 0. It may be recalled that in the past durin! discussion on the draft Resolution on Crimes a!ainst "umanity, an attempt was made to adopt a le!ally bindin! instrument. In this conte't, we would reiterate our \$iew that it is premature to draw any conclusion on the nature and format of the draft &rticles without ha\$in! any in1depth discussions on the draft &rticles.
- (2. 3inally, !i\$en the shared concerns amon! the member States, that these Draft &rticles are not necessarily based on empirical analysis of international practice and are lar!ely put to!ether by analo!y or deduction from the pro\$isions of other international con\$entions, we are of the \$iew that these draft &rticles are neither new nor uni\$ersal

4. +hus, the proposal to ha\(e \) a successful conclusion of the Con\(e \) ention at this sta!e is premature. +he le!itimate concerns of Member States must not be i!nored, and there should be no attempt to impose le!al theories or definitions deri\(e \) deri\(e \) from international a!reements that do not en-oy uni\(e \) ersal acceptance. \(e \) open, inclusi\(e \) and transparent debate is needed,

+hank you Mr. Chairman.

.