

Section 6 is supplemented by Section 4 of the Decree on the Application of Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code on the passive personality principle. The provision deals with the effects doctrine in an international offence. The Decree on the Application of Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code does not contain any separate provisions on the effects doctrine.

Chapter 1, section 11(1) of the Criminal Code on the requirement of dual criminality stipulates that if the offence has been committed in the territory of a foreign State and a sentence to imprisonment has been imposed by that foreign State. In this event, the law of the State which is more severe shall be imposed in Finland. Chapter 1, section 11(2), stipulates that even if the offence is not committed in Finland, Finnish law applies to it if the offence is one of those referred to in section 11(2), which include for instance rape.

Chapter 1, section 12 of the Criminal Code requires cases where a prosecution is brought by the Prosecutor General's Office for prosecuting in Finland an offence committed abroad.

Jurisdiction ratione personae (due)

Under Finnish criminal law, jurisdiction over offences committed abroad may be based on the protective principle (Chapter 1, section 7 of the Criminal Code), on the passive personality principle (Chapter 1, section 6 of the Criminal Code), on the active personality principle (Chapter 1, section 10 of the Criminal Code), on Chapter 1, section 7 of the Criminal Code on an international offence and Chapter 1, section 10 of the Criminal Code on other offences committed outside Finland.

Chapter 1, section 6 of the Criminal Code is based on the passive personality principle. Section 6 is supplemented by Chapter 1, section 4 of the Decree on the Application of Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code on military offences.

Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code does not contain any express provisions on jurisdiction over offences committed by stateless persons as a jurisdictional basis. Therefore, an offence committed by a stateless person is prosecuted in Finland on the basis of Chapter 1, section 6 as a precondition for the jurisdictional basis under Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code.

Chapter 1 of the Criminal Code does not contain any specific provisions on jurisdiction over offences committed by foreign nationals. Thus, the general jurisdictional basis under Chapter 1, section 5 of the Code concerning an offence directed at a Finnish citizen or a resident in Finland is covered by a Finnish citizen or a resident in Finland. The Code's passive personality principle provides that the are deemed equivalent to a Finnish citizen: (1) a person who was permanently resident in Finland at the time of the offence and is permanently resident in Finland at the beginning of the proceedings, (2) a person who was apprehended in Finland and who at the beginning of the proceedings is a citizen of Denmark, Iceland, Norway or Sweden or at that time is permanently resident in one of those countries.

Chapter 1, section 4 of the Criminal Code contains provisions on an offence in public office and a military offence. According to section 4(1), Finnish law applies to an offence referred to in Chapter 40 of the Code that has been committed outside of Finland by a person referred to in Chapter 41, paragraphs 1, 2, 3 and 4. According to section 4(2), Finnish law also applies to an offence referred to in Chapter 45 (b) if it has been committed outside of Finland by a person subject to the provisions of that chapter.

The provisions of Chapter 1, section 7 of the Criminal Code, on the basis of international treaties, may contain special conditions on the perpetrator. According to Chapter 1, section 7, international treaties may also apply to the perpetrator. Generally, foreign treaties may restrict the scope of application of the criminal law of Finland.

Jurisdiction *ratione materiae* (questions 3)

As described above, the scope of application of the Criminal Code determines the scope of application of the Code in international obligations. International law is binding on Finland and generally recognised rules of international law may restrict the scope of application of the Code when compared with the scope otherwise founded on the provisions of Chapter 1 (Chapter 1, section 17). For some purposes, however, a person who is punishable with imprisonment for more than six months for a passive or passive participation in a crime committed in Finland (Chapter 1, section 8) Chapter 1, section 3 contains provisions on offences which are punishable on the basis of...

In some cases, however, the scope of application of the Code is determined by the provisions of the Code. This is the case firstly in Chapter 1, section 4 of the Criminal Code, where Finnish law is deemed to apply to an offence committed in Finland if it is a passive or passive participation in a crime committed in Finland. According to Chapter 1, section 4(2), Finnish law also applies to an offence referred to in Chapter 45 (b) if it has been committed outside of Finland by a person subject to the provisions of that chapter. In Chapter 1, section 4(1) of the Criminal Code, on the other hand, the application of the section, the jurisdictional basis relates to specific types of offences. Chapter 1, section 11(2) of the Code sets the exception that the requirement of dual criminality is, by category of offence, Chapter 1, section 13(2) regulates the effect of a foreign judgment by Chapter 1, section 13, 4 and 7. The provisions of Chapter 1, section 13(2) regulate the effect of a foreign judgment by Chapter 1, section 13, 4 and 7. The provisions of Chapter 1, section 13(2) regulate the effect of a foreign judgment by Chapter 1, section 13, 4 and 7.

Preconditions for extraterritorial jurisdiction (questions 4, 5)

The requirement of territoriality in the Criminal Code does not make territoriality a precondition for the extraditability of the perpetrator. But one of the preconditions for extradition is laid down in Chapter 1, section 8 is that the extradition of the perpetrator has been requested but the request does not require extradition in any extradition requests, the perpetrator resides in the State receiving and deciding on the extradition request. According to Chapter 1, section 6(3)(2) of the Criminal Code, a person who was born in Finland, Iceland, Norway or Sweden or at that time is permanently resident in one of these countries is deemed to be a Finnish citizen.

The requirement of territoriality is laid down in Chapter 1, section 11 of the Criminal Code. The basis in identifying jurisdiction is laid down in Chapter 1, section 11 on the effect of a foreign judgment. The requirement of territoriality is laid down in Chapter 1, section 11 on the effect of a foreign judgment. The requirement of territoriality is laid down in Chapter 1, section 11 on the effect of a foreign judgment.

