

Global Preparatory Meeting
2009 Annual Ministerial Review of the
United Nations Economic & Social Council

*Falling through the Cracks: The Mounting Health Crisis
amid Situations of Precarious Stability*

Statement by Sophie Delbecq, Executive Director,
Médecins Sans Frontières

31 March 2009



On behalf of Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), I would like to thank the President of the Economic and Social Council for the opportunity to speak on the important topic of "health challenges in post-crisis situations".

When talking about humanitarian crises, we should not forget that the humanitarian crisis is over, but we should also consider that patients are not cured, some life-threatening needs have not been met, and structures where organizations have provided emergency medical assistance. Over the past 10 years, MSF has worked in Sierra Leone, and from Burundi to the Democratic Republic of Congo to Central African Republic, the situation remains fragile after the war, but in some parts of the countries. Even in the regions that are the most vulnerable, people who have survived violence still face tremendous health and health challenges.

As a matter of fact, existing health systems are not suited to meet ongoing humanitarian challenges: instead of improving the health status of the population, inadequate strategies, that include, among others, a lack of service health care financing, often cause a deterioration of the health and economic condition of those very individuals in need of care.

States and multilateral institutions have a responsibility to improve their understanding of the health needs of the population, and to strengthen their ability to respond adequately to these needs, with special attention to the most fragile groups.

Let me now go back to my first point.

Signing a peace agreement does not automatically solve the humanitarian crisis, nor does it wash away the health problems of the population. Unfortunately, the post-conflict period does not look as nice as we'd like it to look. Collapsed health systems and dramatic needs coexist in a deadly combination long after the conflict is over, and in many cases, the political situation.

remains fragile as exemplified by the Central African Republic, where regular banditry and localized clashes persist, leading to injuries from the wounds that are clinically similar to war-related wounds. Because these tensions and their consequences are not acknowledged by the international actors involved in the peace building process, the humanitarian needs are not properly addressed.

Ironically, the transition from emergency to post-conflict situations can precipitate a strange paradox: people's health status and access to health care sometimes deteriorate as a result, since humanitarian actors are no longer present to provide free health care to people, especially the most vulnerable. In other circumstances, NGOs can simply handover their program as the existing health system is unable to cope with medical needs.

*

Just four years ago, I met Prince before the UN Security Council to speak out about the incredible violence gripping the Haitian capital, Port-au-Prince. At that time, hundreds of thousands of victims were collapsing at the doors of our hospitals. The city's inhabitants were caught in the middle of an explosive urban conflict. Our wards were overflowing with the victims of this intense violence.

Today, even though a relative calm has prevailed over Port-au-Prince for the past year, our emergency wards are still filled beyond capacity, despite political stability. MSF is still the only provider of free primary emergency health services in a city of 3.5 million people. Just yesterday, though, in the *International Herald Tribune*, Secretary General Ban Ki-moon outlined his priorities for Haiti. Shockingly, disaster relief was not a high priority or the critical health needs remaining in the country. Instead, the Secretary General is calling upon donor governments and institutions to invest in the country as an emerging economy. This call couldn't be further from the day-to-day needs of the patients coming to MSF medical facilities.

MSF's hospital wards are hemorrhaging not from gunshot victims, but pregnant women suffering from life-threatening complications. Women travel as

far as 120 kilometers to reach the MSF-run hospital. With a massive increase in admissions this last year, pregnant women have often been forced to give birth in the hallways, as is common in the U.S. In some instances, as many as 1,600 deliveries per month occurred in the facility—more than 50 per day. 25,000 pregnant women in the region die each year from complications, a rate of one in eight pregnancies. With a maternal mortality rate of 250 deaths per 100,000 live births, Haiti is one of the most dangerous enterprises in the Western Hemisphere—pregnancy and childbirth for an impoverished woman in Haiti is one of the most dangerous enterprises.

Since 2005, MSF has provided emergency surgical care for trauma-related injuries. More than 14,000 patients were admitted since the beginning of 2008. Initially, this program was limited to emergency surgery for victims during a time of open conflict between armed groups. Four years later, MSF continues to be the primary provider of free 24-hour a day, 7 days a week emergency medical services for trauma and victims and survivors of sexual violence in the capital.

The absence of emergency services was painfully clear last April, when food riots took hold in the city and MSF treated 400 people, including 44 gunshot victims, in just 300 days. And later in the year, when the collapse of a school saw more than 170 children and children transported to MSF medical facilities.

Without the existing MSF surgical and obstetric programs in Port-au-Prince and MSF's presence in neglected violent areas, residents would have no access to emergency care. Although significant international funding and strategic investments have improved the public health care system, the majority of Haitians and especially the urban poor still cannot afford quality lifesaving health care. Instead, they face frequent health worker strikes and the absence of free and quality services.

And that brings me to my second point:

Existing policies fail to meet the health-care needs of vulnerable groups, and therefore the most vulnerable groups. Both inadequate strategy and unpredictable human and financial resources undermine access to quality health care for the most vulnerable.

Haiti is not the only area of intervention where MSF is confronted with critical gaps in nongovernmental or national health services during the transition from war to peace. The MSF experience is one of witnessing a wide health needs evolution and development of policy approaches. There is a strong temptation to move quickly to so-called sustainable forms of health financing in the post-crisis period. But to give into economic dogma can create a double-edged sword: the maintenance of a segment of a population.

MSF learned from its own missteps in implementing some of the recommendations of the Bamako initiative in the late 1980s and early 1990s. In Sierra Leone and Burundi, for example, the reintroduction of professional services health-care policies had largely succeeded only in creating barriers to health care. In Burundi, an MSF study in 2004 found that the national health recovery system was effectively shutting out 20% of the country's rural population from accessing health care. Even the smallest fees, amounting to a few hundred local people trying to access health services.

In Sierra Leone, which is more than eight years removed from conflict, mortality is still high, 10% higher than the average for sub-Saharan Africa, with a significant proportion of deaths caused by malaria. The disease takes a devastating toll on the population in rural areas. However, MSF's work in Sierra Leone, saw a radical increase in consultations following the introduction of free care. The number of malaria cases diagnosed and treated doubled.

compared to the previous year: 5.5% in June 2004 to 10.4% in June 2008. The trend was confirmed in the following months. The most vulnerable children were the greatest beneficiaries, with the number of under-five children cases 10 times higher.

First and foremost, health policies should be based on the reality of the population's need and should ensure that medical treatment is available to the most vulnerable regardless of their ability to pay. Experience and field studies have proven that user fees exclude poor populations, discouraging them from coming to health centers for treatment.

Furthermore, exemption systems, with varying good or poor results, do not work in practice. In Sierra Leone, more than 3.5% of children under five, breastfeeding women, and elderly people—all supposedly exempted from payment—actually received an exemption. In Burundi, only 1% of the population was officially exempted, a number far too low considering the country's poverty levels.

These two approaches can give rise to a vicious cycle that is difficult to break because it limits access to health care, a poor user cycle makes it difficult to assess the real health needs of the population, and ultimately leads to ineffective health policies. Second, even modest charges for primary health care risk further impoverishing patients. Burundi, 4% of 5% of the population can afford to pay for health care. Even in the all-inclusive, flat-fee system initiated by MCH in Rwanda, 20% of the population could not afford to pay. In Sierra Leone, 20% of the population found it impossible to pay for health care. In Sierra Leone, 20% of the population found it impossible to pay for health care.

On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that abolishing user fees results in more people seeking health care, but also in a higher proportion of unnecessary use of services.

Conclusion and Recommendations:

MSF's experience in Haiti, Liberia, and Sierra Leone are emblematic of the dilemmas countries face in the transition from war to peace. The reality on the ground today is that there is a grave gap in quality health care services for people in these so-called post-crisis situations. Donor funding, based on long-term unrealistic plans, rather than actual needs on the ground, has undermined services that ironically had been functioning at basic levels during war times and now children, women, and men are dying because their countries have been re-categorized and the very system established to help save them is failing them at every turn.

There is an urgent need for all actors to acknowledge the extreme vulnerability of some segments of the population affected by conflict and widespread poverty, and to adjust health responses accordingly. Contributions towards living improvement can make a difference but only if care are too small to be considered an essential part of the financing. They will make no difference to the sustainability of health systems that are not significantly contribute to undermining people's lives. The international community must worsen patients' condition.

Post-crisis environments urgently need a health system that combines rebuilding the health system and dealing with immediate health needs of the population.

Thank you.