

(please check against delivery)

Statement by H.E. Mr. Reza Najafi

Ambassador and Permanent Representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran to the United Nations and other International Organizations in Vienna on Nuclear Disarmament and Security Assurances

At the Main Committee I of the 2015 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons

New York, 4 May 2015

In the Name of God, the Most Compassionate, the Most Merciful

Mr. Chairman,

I would like to associate my delegation with the statement of the Non-Aligned Movement.

			*
			•

course a great achievement. However, its failure in gaining the universal characteristic remains a serious challenge to its effectiveness. As "zero" is called as "the only safe number L 110 H.

weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility; 7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners"; 8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under		Contrary_to_the expelicit_ablications under articles I and II after Tuesty mustons					
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under	<b>.</b>						
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under	_å						
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under	i e						
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under	•						
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under	ie.	•					
weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non- nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of genuine political will by the nuclear-weapon States to fulfil their legal obligations under							
		weapon-sharing between the nuclear-weapon States themselves or between them and non-nuclear-weapon States parties to the Treaty continues to exist, which, by actual proliferation of nuclear weapons seriously undermine the object and purpose of this Treaty and challenge its effectiveness and credibility;  7) Current negative security assurances are very limited, conditional, insufficient, and above all, can justify the use of such weapons by resorting to such concepts as "defending the vital interests" of a nuclear-weapon State or its "allies and partners";  8) Above all, in our view, the main challenge of nuclear disarmament is the lack of					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	The second of th						
	13						
	<b>A</b>						
		<u>,                                    </u>					
} ·							
	<u> </u>						

indefinitely, and therefore their implementation should be time bound, defined by taking into account the long delay in the implementation of such obligations and commitments and the urgent need for their full and immediate fulfillment;

"To confirm that all States parties undertake to urgently commence the negotiations, in the Conference on Disarmament, for the early conclusion of a comprehensive convention on nuclear weapons to prohibit their possession, development, production, acquisition, testing, stockpiling, transfer, use or threat of use and to provide for their destruction, as called for by the United Nations General Assembly resolutions 68/32 and 69/38;



